REPORT ON STATE OF ART -AI SUPPORT IN ATM

Deliverable ID:	3.1
Dissemination Level:	PU
Project Acronym:	ARTIMATION
Grant:	894238
Call:	H2020-SESAR-2019-01
Topic:	Digitalisation and Automation principles for ATM
Consortium Coordinator:	MDH
Edition date:	[12 August 2021]
Edition:	00.02.02
Template Edition:	02.00.02









Authoring & Approval

Authors of the document				
Name/Beneficiary	Position/Title	Date		
Mobyen Uddin Ahmed	Associate Professor at MDH	2021-02-08		
Shaibal Barua	Senior Lecturer at MDH	2021-04-10		
Hamidur Rahman	PhD student at MDH	2021-03-20		
Mir Riyanul Islam	PhD student at MDH	2021-03-30		
Degas Augustin	PhD at ENAC	2021-04-21		
Pietro Arico'	PhD at UNISAP	2021-04-05		
Christophe Hurter	Prof at ENAC	2021-04-27		

Reviewers internal to the project			
Name/Beneficiary	Position/Title	Date	
Christophe HURTER	Professor at ENAC	2021-04-27	
Shahina Begum	Professor at MDH	2021-04-28	

Approved for submission to the SJU By - Representatives of beneficiaries involved in the project

Name/Beneficiary	Position/Title	Date
Mobyen Uddin Ahmed	Associate Professor at MDH	2021-05-11
Christophe Hurter	Prof at ENAC	2021-05-11
Pietro Arico'	PhD at UNISAP	2021-05-11
Daniele Ruscio	Deep Blue	2021-05-11

Rejected By - Representatives of beneficiaries involved in the project

Name/Beneficiary	Position/Title	Date

Document History

Edition	Date	Status	Author	Justification
00.00.01	2021-02-08	Draft	Mobyen	With SJU template
00.00.02	2021-03-26	Draft	Augustin	State of the Art of AI in ATM 3.1





00.00.02	2021-03-30	Draft	Hamidur	Background of ATM in 2.1 and related project in 2.2
00.00.03	2021-04-09	Draft	Augustin	State of the Art of Visualization in ATM 3.3
00.00.04	2021-04-11	Draft	Augustin	Overview of selected subjects in 2.1
00.00.05	2021-04-13	Draft	Shaibal	Draft of Overview of Lifelong ML
00.00.06	2021-04-14	Draft	Augustin	Human-Centered AI 4.2
00.00.07	2021-04-15	Draft	Mir Riyanul	Overview of AI/ML and explainability in 3.2
00.00.08	2021-04-15	Draft	Pietro Arico'	SotA on cognitive human- Al-interaction interfaces in ATM in
00.00.09	2021-04-21	Draft	Hamidur	Reference management
00.00.10	2021-04-21	Draft	Mobyen	Format and complete the version for review.
00.00.11	2021-05-03	Draft	Aquif	Update considering review comments by Shahina and Christophe
00.00.12	2021-05-05	Draft	Shabial, Mir Riyanul, Hamidur	Update their contribution based on the review comments
00.00.12	2021-05-06	Draft	Aquif	Updated the structure, figures and table captions
00.00.13	2021-05-07	Final	Mobyen	Ready for submission
00.00.14	2021-05-11	Final	Mobyen	Added 'Approved for submission to the SJU' and version for 1nd round review by SJU
00.01.01	2021-06-24	Draft	Aquif, Mobyen	Change the chapter 2 and 5 and fixed the minor comments.
00.01.02	2021-07-02	Draft	Mobyen	Update and add more figures
00.01.03	2021-07-13	Draft	Shabial, Mir Riyanul, Hamidur, Augustin, Mobyen	Update and extent the introduction, table 1, description text for table 2, table 3, chapter 4.1. Also added figure 3, 4, 6, 7 and 12
00.01.04	2021-07-19	Final	Mobyen	Update, review and final version for 2 nd round review by SJU





00.02.01	2021-08-11	Draft	Aquif, Augustin, Mobyen	Updated by adding the reviews from SJU
00.02.02	2021-08-12	Final	Mobyen	Reviewed and ready for submit version

Copyright Statement

© -2021 - ARIMATION Consortium. All rights reserved. Licensed to the SESAR Joint Undertaking under conditions







TRANSPARENT ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND AUTOMATION TO AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS'

This D3.1 REPORT ON STATE OF ART -AI SUPPORT IN ATM is part of a project that has received funding from the SESAR Joint Undertaking under grant agreement No [894238] under European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme.



Abstract

This report presents a state –of-the-art on Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Air Traffic Management (ATM) domain. Here, the main objective is to review and identify AI techniques, methods and algorithms that have been applied in different ATM domain's related tasks. Also, it discusses transparency and explainability in AI algorithms based on a systematic literature review of the most relevant topics i.e., Take-off Time Prediction, Delay Propagation and Conflict Avoidance in the ATM domain. Besides, the report includes state-of-art techniques and approaches for data visualisation, and lifelong machine learning with human-centered AI. Finally, challenges in ATM with respect to AI are also reported here.





Table of Contents

	Abst	ract	5
1	In	troduction	9
2	Ba	ckground and related work	
	2.1	Related projects in ATM domain	
3	Tr	ansparency in AI algorithms in ATM	
	3.1	SotA on AI/ML algorithms in ATM	17
	3.2	Overview on Explainability in AI/ML	
	3.3	Overview and SotA on Visualization	
4	Ηı	ıman-centered AI	
	4.1	Lifelong Machine Learning	
	4.2	SotA on Human Centric AI model in ATM	
	4.3	SotA on Cognitive Human-AI-Interaction Interfaces in ATM	
5	Di	scussion	
6	Со	nclusions	
7	Re	ferences	





List of Tables

Table 1. List of ATM projects and AI/ML models	14
Table 2. Different AI algorithms/models used in the different categories in ATM	22
Table 3. Methods for explainability for visual explanations with stage (Ah: Ante-hoc, Ph:	
Post-hoc), scope (L: local, G: global) of explainability, design spaces (P: Prediction, O/A:	
Optimisation/Automation, A: Analysis, M/S: Modelling/Simulation) and the type of AI/ML	,
models used for performing the primary tasks	32





List of Figures

Figure 1. Overview of ATM structure	1
Figure 2 . PRISMA Flow of the AI in ATM review	8
Figure 3. AI for ATM design space	9
Figure 4. AI in ATM analysis	0
Figure 5: Evolution of the number of publications of AI for ATM from our review; left graph	
shows the evolution of the categories with years, right shows the most relevant years due to	
our review being primary made on ICRAT—that occurs every two years—between 2020-	
2014	0
Figure 6. A MAS optimizing the traffic by letting airplanes agents (MEAi) decide of the	
trajectory of the airplane i, by choosing, according to the perceived environment—mostly	
neighbours airplane—, an action it can perform [97]24	4
Figure 7. Euler diagram of the different classifications of metaheuristics, Johann Dréo via	
Wikimedia Commons	б
Figure 8. Different forms of explanations. (a) numeric explanation from confidence itemsets	
[155], (b) visual explanation with class activation map (CAM) for vibrating cantilever beam	
by Sun et al. [156], (c) example of rule-based explanation in the form of tree [157] and (d)	
explanation text generated with GRACE, proposed by Le et al. [158]3	1
Figure 9: Trajectory Bundling with time window of a day of traffic in the USA Airspace [245]
	5
Figure 10: (a) Brushing+Linking of trajectory data from [251], (b) Boolean addition of	
different filters, annotation and comparison from [250]	б
Figure 11. Immersive Visual Analytics tool: Fiber Clay [256]	б
Figure 12. Lifelong Machine learning system Adapted from [261]	8





1 Introduction

Artificial Intelligence' term was first used in 1956 for the first "Dartmouth Summer Research Project on Artificial Intelligence". Since then, the discipline has gone through several 'summers' implying important interests and developments, and 'winters' referring to disinterest from the field, associated with scepticism¹. EXplainability of Artificial Intelligence (XAI), is strongly linked to the systems it explains, went through the same phases, and is actually in its third generation now [1]. Al in ATM roughly followed the same pattern with some delay. During the last decade, Al in ATM roughly evolved, from Al systems used to optimize the traffic to Al systems used to predict various objects i.e., predicting 4D trajectories.

Under normal conditions, Europe has to deal with very complex and busy airspace. In this regard, it is expected that automation and AI solutions would not obviously replace humans, but on the contrary, they should ensure that air traffic controllers have the necessary support tools to make the best decisions and manage traffic efficiently. In many ways, it's about making AI part of the team.

In recent years, ATM services have successively built air traffic control systems, airport surface monitoring systems, electronic flight data systems, digital clearance systems, collaborative decision-making systems, traffic management systems, etc. These systems have accumulated a large amount of air traffic control data that has potential value. Analyzing the data of air traffic control by using machine learning (ML) algorithms can provide a guarantee for safe production and enable the air traffic control system to provide services more safely and efficiently [2]. There are also some limitations of using machine learning algorithms in the ATM domain such as explainability and transparency which are discussed more elaborately in chapter 3.

Therefore, the establishment of aviation *big data storage and analysis platform* has become an important task of air traffic management. A big data platform is designed using Hadoop Storage Module (HSM) which stores the data output by each system used by the ATM system and the platform provides decision making including flight delay analysis, operational efficiency, and experience routes using Mahout machine learning library [3].

Machine Learning algorithm is deployed to *forecast the workload of the air traffic controllers* using the routinely-recorded flight data [4]. For instance, in [5], an AI system is developed as a digital assistant to support air traffic controllers in resolving potential conflicts. The proposed system consists of two core components: an intelligent interactive conflict solver (ICS) to acquire air traffic controller's preferences, and an AI agent based on reinforcement learning to suggest conflict resolutions capturing those preferences. While such a system shows interesting advances in Human/AI collaboration, it fails to propose transparent and explainable reasoning for the proposed solution. Such a lack of transparency is not suitable for live critical systems.

¹ https://www.bbc.com/news/technology-51064369





2 Background and related work

With the rise in the airline industry² and the construction of new airports and runways such as Istanbul New Airport, Beijing Daxing International Airport, Western Sydney Airport etc.³, air traffic has increased dramatically in the past few years. The reason behind this increment of air traffic is economic and demographic growth: the growing middle-class is stimulating airline activity. Another development factor is the emergence of low-cost airlines offering competitive prices on popular destinations⁴. Consequently, the growing air traffic has put additional pressure on air traffic control system that handles thousands of flights per day. To avoid delays and collisions, ATM must work efficiently. Today, airspace control has the challenge of merging information from independent and heterogeneous systems to minimize air safety risks and facilitate the decision-making process. Some notable information those are vital for the ATM are:

Communication: Communication between air traffic controllers and pilots remains a vital part of air traffic control operations, and communication problems can result in hazardous situations [6]. Air traffic controllers constantly need to intensely listen to every single word said by pilots and other controllers. They need to be aware of what is going on in their space as well as other sectors around them. If a problem arises, they need to act on it at the very moment. It puts a lot of pressure on air traffic controllers.

Weather: Another biggest issue for a controller is the weather [8]. It adversely affects the work and function of air traffic control staffs. The more it is complex; the more workload is laden on them. A bad weather means a bad day for them.

Frequency congestion: Frequency congestion is another common cause of communication breakdown in ATM system⁵. It's important to remember that a single radio frequency is capable of handling only a limited number of radio messages within any specified time. The limitations are determined by multiple factors including length of each transmission, number of aircraft, frequency re-transmission and associated workload. Ideally, a pilot should be able to transmit a message at any time of his/her choosing and receive an immediate reply. As radio traffic increases above the ideal, the frequency becomes congested. The pilot must wait for a break in transmissions to pass a message and may have to wait for a response from the ATCO, who must judge different priorities.

Air Traffic Management (ATM): "ATM is the dynamic, integrated management of air traffic and airspace including air traffic services, airspace management and air traffic flow management safely, economically and efficiently through the provision of facilities and seamless services in collaboration with all parties and involving airborne and ground-based functions"[6]. For safe and efficient movement of aircraft during all phases of operations both airborne and ground-based functions (i.e., air traffic services, airspace management and air traffic flow management) are required. Air traffic management comprises three main services: air traffic services (ATS), air traffic flow management (ATFM) and airspace management (ASM).

⁴ <u>https://coflight-cloud-services.com/growth-by-2035/</u>

⁵ https://www.flightsafetyaustralia.com/2020/07/frequency-congestion/



² <u>https://www.statista.com/statistics/193533/growth-of-global-air-traffic-passenger-demand/</u>

³ <u>https://edition.cnn.com/travel/article/new-airports-and-terminals/index.html</u>



Air traffic services (ATS): Main functions of ATS are to prevent collisions by applying appropriate separation standards and issue timely clearances and instructions that create an orderly flow of air traffic (e.g., accommodate crew requests for desired levels and flight paths, ensure continuous climb and descent operations, reduce holding times in the air and on the ground). Their tasks include:

- a) Air traffic control (ATC): Area Control service, Approach control service, Aerodrome control service.
- b) Advisory Service
- c) Flight information service (FIS)
- d) Alerting service

ATS with the general purposes of ensuring safe and orderly traffic flow (facilitated by the air traffic control (ATC) service) as well as providing the necessary information to flight crews (flight information service, FIS) and, in case of an emergency, to the appropriate bodies (alerting service). ATS is mostly performed by air traffic controllers. ATS relies on tactical interventions by the controllers and direct communication with the flight crews usually during the entire flight.

Air traffic flow management (ATFM): The primary objective is to regulate the flow of aircraft as efficiently as possible to avoid the congestion of certain control sectors. The ways and means used are increasingly directed towards ensuring the best possible match between supply and demand by staggering the demand over time and space and by ensuring better planning of the control capacities to be deployed to meet the demand. Supply and demand can be managed by imposing various restrictions on certain traffic flows (e.g., assigning CTOTs or requiring flights matching certain criteria to use specific routes). Also, supply can be increased by appropriate sector management (e.g., increasing the number of controllers working at the same time). AFTM measures can be seen as pre-tactical, as they do not affect the current situation but rather the near future.

Airspace management (ASM): The purpose of ASM is to manage airspace as efficiently as possible to satisfy its many users, both civil and military. This service concerns both the way airspace is allocated to its various users (by means of routes, zones, flight levels, etc.) and the way in which it is structured to provide air traffic services.



Figure 1. Overview of ATM structure





Other than the above-mentioned structure and roles of them in ATM, there are other ground tasks such as Take-off Time Prediction, Delay Propagation and Conflict Avoidance which are of importance that must be addressed. These tasks are described briefly below:

Take-off Time Prediction

The direct or indirect costs induced by delay in ATM have driven research to predict and minimize air traffic delays. The take-off time being one of the roots (indicator) of the delay of an airplane, it impairs all transportation network, and predicting it is a key to better predict and enhance air traffic. As a result, it is the not such a surprise that it has been widely studied in the last decade, surely catalyzed by the growing availability of aircraft trajectory data like ADS-B data, radar data lately, and the availability of user-friendly machine learning frameworks.

Research investigating departure delay can be classified based on

- The spatial granularity of the delay being predicted, from aggregated delay e.g., predicting the aggregated delay of the network [7]–[9] of a particular route, or an airport to the delay of a simple aircraft trained on a particular Origin- Destination route[10], [11], or more general training [9]
- The temporal granularity of the prediction, from a simple classification e.g., delayed or not delayed [12] to general classes of delay e.g., less than 10 minutes, more than 15 minutes [10], or even to precise delay and eventually with probabilities[12]–[14]
- iii) The look-ahead time of the prediction e.g., strategic, pre-tactical [11], tactical
- iv) The model used to predict the delay
- v) The features used to predict the delay e.g., leg delay [13], weather [10].

Delay Propagation

Like Take-off time prediction, delay propagation has gained an interest with the increasing congestion of the traffic and the need to predict better the demand, to better balance it with the capacity, and is surely catalysed by data and ML framework availability. In delay propagation, the main objective is to understand how the delays originating at one location propagates downstream into all or parts of the network, that is from aircraft to airports and airlines.

Delay propagation can be studied from the point of view of one aircraft, and how the delay propagates on the trajectory, or any subsequent trajectory for the aircraft or the airline [15], [16], and how robust are airlines to such delay, and how they can recover from it [17], [18].

Nonetheless, from ATM point of view, it is more interesting to focus on the system as all [19]–[21], must it be:

- i) From a microscopic point of view i.e., representation of the different aircraft, mostly using multiagent systems [22], [23] [24]
- ii) From a macroscopic point of view i.e., density, flows, statistics, using statistical models and heuristics [25], [26]





iii) From any intermediate mesoscopic point of view, using queuing models [20], network theory approaches [18], [21] and machine learning [9], [11], [27] [28].

Indeed, any delay can induce delay on other aircrafts, generating problem not centralised on one aircraft or company. Problems can even propagate again to aircraft or the company by increasing the number of flights during a certain period, thus creating an imbalance with the capacity.

Conflict Avoidance

Conflict avoidance in ATM is one of the important and searched subjects in the ATM domain. Being one of the primary task of ATCos from the beginning of ATM, the domain naturally contains a lot of work, from the beginning of ATM [29] to now with lot of various approaches.

In the following, we use Latombe's formalization [30] which is widely used in the general motion planning problem is based on the notion of configuration space as defined in Lozano-Perez and Udapa's work [31], [32].

The conflict avoidance problem is combinatorial: the huge number of heterogeneous airplanes, the size of the airspace and the four dimensions (space + time) make the configuration space (C) tremendous. The size of the configuration space has led most research to either i) sample non iteratively the configuration space C by mostly using so-called 'operational' manoeuvres, which mimic orders that controllers would give to avoid separation losses, or ii) use heuristics to navigate iteratively in C.

Non-Iterative Sampling

Most algorithms, with a non-iterative sampling of the configuration space C, generate a graph of possible manoeuvres for each airplane, and explore this graph. Some algorithms use exact methods that will explore extensively this graph, using methods such as Mixed-Integer Linear Programming (MILP) [33], [34], constraint programming [35],or an hybridization between branch and bound algorithm and evolutionary algorithm [36], the later to reduce the exploration of the first. Other algorithms use approximate methods, exploring this graph by using meta-heuristics such as genetic algorithms [37], benchmarked in [38] or ant algorithms [39]. Other algorithms that samples non iteratively C will sample differently, parameterizing B-splines with genetic algorithms [40] or modifying way-points with simulated annealing [41], [42].

Iterative Sampling

Iterative Navigation Algorithms, navigating iteratively in the configuration space C, may be centralized or decentralized. Centralized algorithms use wave propagation analogies [43], [44], Mixed-Integer Linear Programming at discrete times to find the minimal modification to avoid separation losses [45], bi-harmonic field—extended potential field—[46], centralized harmonic navigation functions [47], or geometric methods—methods using speed vector to determine speed vector modifications [48], [49]. In Decentralized algorithms, airplanes decide their trajectories according to their local behaviour, using algorithms such as modified voltage potential [50] which is a modification of potential fields , bi-polar navigation functions[51], [52], or multi-agent systems [53]–[56].

2.1 Related projects in ATM domain





Resurgent interest in AI techniques focused research attention on their application in aviation systems including ATM. Recent developments and considerations for certification of ATM task through different AI/ML techniques (specially different neural networks) are presented in [7]. Considering the tasks in the projects, most of the work is centered around prediction and forecasting. A list of ATM related projects, its timeline and AI/ML models are presented in Table 1.

No.	Project Name/Task	Year	AI/ML Models	Project Link
1	Sector Capacity Prediction	2018	Feed Forward Neural Network (FFNN)	6
2	Take-off time prediction	2019	Gradient Boosted Decision Trees (GBDT)	7
3	Runway configuration prediction	2019	Recurrent Neural Network Encoded Decoder with Attention Mechanism	8
4	Pre-Tactical Traffic Forecast	2020-present	Random Forest	9
5	Air Traffic Control (ATC) with Multi Agent Reinforcement Learning	2020	Message Passing Neural Networks (MPNN)	10
6	Air traffic flow management (ATFM) with multi-agent reinforcement learning	2020-present	Graph Neural Networks (GNN)	11
7	Carfew infringement prediction	2020-present	Recurrent Neural Network (RNN)	12
8	AICHAIN A platform for privacy preserving federated machine learning using blockchain	2020-present	Federated machine learning and blockchain	13
9	Novel tools to evaluate ATM systems coupling under future deployment scenarios - Domino	2018-2019	Agent-based model, Trip Centrality	14
10	Capacity optimization in trajectory-based operations – COTTON	2018-2019	Bayesian Networks	15

Table 1. List of ATM projects and AI/ML models

- ⁷ https://www.sesarju.eu/sites/default/files/documents/sid/2019/papers/SIDs_2019_paper_36.pdf
- 8 https://www.sesarju.eu/sites/default/files/documents/sid/2019/papers/SIDs_2019_paper_37.pdf
- ⁹ <u>https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0191261518310464</u>
- ¹⁰ https://www.sesarju.eu/sites/default/files/documents/sid/2020/papers/SIDs_2020_paper_26red.pdf
- ¹¹ https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0191261518310464

Founding Members



⁶ https://www.sesarju.eu/sesar-solutions/management-dynamic-airspace-configurations

https://www.sesarju.eu/projects/aichain
 https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/783206

https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/783222/reporting
 https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/783222/reporting



11	GNSS navigation threats management - GATEMAN	2018-2019	Support Vector Machine (SVM) and Convolutional Neural Network (CNN)	16
12	Advanced prediction models for flexible trajectory-based operations - ADAPT	2018-2019	ad-hoc visualisation tool for the strategic flight planning	17
13	Airspace User supporting Demonstrations of Integrated Airport Operations- AUDIO	2019-2021	Human Machine Interface (HMI), Augmented Tower Vision (ATV)	18
14	Enhanced situational awareness through video integration with ADS-B surveillance infrastructure on airports ENVISION	2018-2019	Convolutional Neural Network (CNN)	19
15	Modern ATM via Human/Automation Learning Optimisation (MAHALO)	2020-2022	explainability (transparency) methods, potential model is CNN	20
16	From Prediction to Decision Support - Strengthening Safe and Scalable ATM Services through Automated Risk Analytics based on Operational Data from Aviation Stakeholders (SafeOPS)	2021-2022	Not found (Project has just started)	21
17	Al Situational Awareness Foundation for Advancing Automation (AISA)	2020-2022	Random Forest, Decision Tree	22
18	Airspace User supporting Demonstrations of Integrated Airport Operations	2019-2021	Other Method	23
19	Participatory Architectural Change Management in ATM Systems	2016-2018	Other Method	24

 ¹⁶ https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/783183
 ¹⁷ https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/783264
 ¹⁸ https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/783161
 ¹⁹ https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/892970
 ²⁰ https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/892979
 ²¹ https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/892919
 ²² https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/892919



²² https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/892618

²³ <u>https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/783161</u>

²⁴ <u>https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/699306</u> Founding Members



20	COMPetition for AIR traffic management	2016-2018	Other Method	25
21	Resilient Synthetic Vision for Advanced Control Tower Air Navigation Service Provision	2016-2018	Other Method	26
22	Expecting the unexpected and know how to respond	2015-2018	Other Method	27
23	Advanced User-centric efficiency metRics for air traffic perfORmance Analytics	2016-2018	Other Method	28
24	Machine Learning of Speech Recognition Models for Controller Assistance	2016-2018	Rule based model/ Assistant Based Speech Recognition (ABSR) model	29
25	Probabilistic Nowcasting of Winter Weather for Airports	2016-2018	Other Method	30



 ²⁵ https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/699249
 ²⁶ https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/699370
 ²⁷ https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/653289

 ²¹ https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/653289
 ²⁸ https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/699340
 ²⁹ https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/698824
 ³⁰ https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/699221
 Founding Members



3 Transparency in AI algorithms in ATM

Al is "blackbox" by nature in most of the applications. When it comes to ATM, it lacks transparency and explanation for an operator while taking a decision. An operator facing a problem in the ATM domain will find no guide or explanation on how to resolve problems.

The goal of the following review is manyfold, and should provide an asset, both for operational, and different actors of this ARTIMATION project: 1) Provide a Design Space of the problem faced in ATM domain, and the solution provided—if any—by AI domain, 2) Assess the possibility to add explainability to the AI systems used in ATM, 3) Assess the need for explainability in those systems.

The following three research questions (RQs) motivated this work, and directed the keywords of our methodology:

RQ1: What are the AI/ML algorithms used in ATM domain? RQ2: What are the potential explainability methods in AI and ML? RQ3: How visualization techniques can be used for XAI in ATM domain?

3.1 SotA on AI/ML algorithms in ATM

This section seeks to answer the first research question (RQ1). It describes the methodology used to perform this review as well as the meta results, followed by explaining the categorization of the reviewed works, with the general results, and finally explaining the detailed results.

The following review was performed by reviewing several papers from different conferences and journals mostly from the ICRAT conference³¹, ATM seminar event³² and the Transportation part C journal³³.

³³ https://www.journals.elsevier.com/transportation-research-part-c-emerging-technologies



³¹ <u>http://www.icrat.org/icrat/</u>

³² http://www.atmseminar.org/





Figure 2 . PRISMA Flow of the AI in ATM review

We followed a PRISMA Flow () to perform this review, with a formal primary selection based on the title and abstract of the papers using primary keywords—listed below. Selected papers where then filtered based on the full text with a superficial reading based on an empirical keyword list containing mostly primary keywords and methods employed. Afterwards, filtered papers were fully reviewed.

Based on the RQ 1, and the fact that this review was mostly performed on ATM conferences, our primary keywords were the following—i.e., the list does not have to include any keywords to restrain to the ATM domain:

- Predict*
- Estimat*
- Optimi*
- Cluster*
- Analys*
- Visu*
- Explain*

Because our review was primarily focused on ATM conferences, the papers selected in the Identification stage (n=226) were already consistent with the ATM field and needed to be consistent with the AI field. Hence exclusion was mostly because they did not use AI techniques. When it was detected at the screening phase (n=94) which was roughly 40 percent, they were excluded. Because techniques employed as clearly defined in the title/abstract, were not from the AI field. When it was detected at the eligibility phase (n=48), it became around 36 percent. As a result, the exclusion, compared to a systematic review, was low which was around 37 percent.

The following section describes the result of this review, from most general to the most specific assessments.





From our review as presented in Figure 3, AI in ATM can globally be divided in 4 sub-groups, strongly connected with AI in general, that globally defines the purpose of the application:

- **Prediction**, e.g., prediction of the traffic, prediction of the runway occupancy time.
- **Optimization/Automation**, e.g., sequencing arrival airplane, avoiding conflict, optimizing a trajectory.
- **Analysis**, e.g., assessing the workload of an Air Traffic Controller (ATCo) in a sector, evaluating the important factor influencing the arrival of an airplane.
- **Modelling/ Simulation**, e.g., simulating the air traffic of an airspace, modelling the arrival of airplane.



Figure 3. AI for ATM design space

Note that, this categorization is not to be confused with any time frame of ATM, like the simplified pretactical, tactical and post analysis time frame. Since, for example, one may predict the arrival time of airplane before the airplane departure, or after, and likewise, assessing the workload of a controller in each sector, one can be useful before, during, and after the trajectories have been flown. The categorization rather emphasizes the goal required by the operator e.g., predict the trajectory and how it can be achieved. Additionally, those groups are not strongly separated, since the output of one group can be used as an input by another group, and some are ambivalent. As an example, the output features of an AI algorithm used to cluster air traffic flows can be used to predict the arrival time by another algorithm. Similarly, an AI algorithm, that can predict 4D trajectories, can also be used as an input to another algorithm, or to model the traffic. In a sense, the more the AI model embrace the innate complexity of the ATM domain, the more it can perform. This categorization is used in the following to present this review of AI in ATM as presented in Figure 4.







Figure 4. AI in ATM analysis

General insights

Despite the narrow scope of our review, some insights about the evolution of publications of AI in ATM can already be drawn. From a global point of view, AI in ATM is a growing domain: the number of publications of AI in ATM as shown in Figure 3 has doubled in the last four years. This maturity of AI technologies applied to ATM, also emphasize the need of explainability of those systems to be accepted and used by the end users i.e., ATM operators, and the purpose of the ARTIMATION project.



publication Modelling/Simulation

Figure 5: Evolution of the number of publications of AI for ATM from our review; left graph shows the evolution of the categories with years, right shows the most relevant years due to our review being primary made on ICRAT—that occurs every two years—between 2020-2014

Through the lens of our categorization, the growth of the last four years publications seems to originate from the growing work in prediction and optimization—publications about prediction tripled between





2014 and 2020. From the number of publications, it seems that AI in ATM highly benefit from the AI community work, researcher work or developer community of the last decade, that democratize and made AI model generation far more accessible, e.g., scikit, TensorFlow, keras. In some area, its more effective like particularly in prediction and optimization. The time window of the review is too short to assess any previous trend in AI for ATM. However, previous work and other reviews [57] suggest that publications of AI in ATM have grown in the last decade but had a strong core base for many years, in particular in optimization, collision avoidance and traffic flows being arguably one of the most consistent subjects of AI in ATM.

Al model used in ATM can vastly be categorized in function i) the primary goal of the model, i.e., is it to predict, analyse, model, or optimize, and ii) the object associated with this primary goal, e.g., to predict a *trajectory*, to predict the *departure time*, to model *arrivals*. The following describe the different categories and object associated.

Categorization insights

The primary goal of the model already categorize well enough the different AI models used in ATM. The different models used in the different categories is presented in Table 2, using selected references.

Al prediction in ATM is performed using a vast range of Al model, most used ones are i) Multi-Agent Systems (MAS), ii) Neural Network (NN), iii) Random Forest (RF), iv) Gradient Boosting Machine (GBM), v) Support Vector Machine (SVM), and vi) Linear Regression. The five later models—NN, RF, GBM, SVM and linear regression are mostly used to predict i) an indicator of the trajectory of an aircraft, e.g., mass estimation [58], descent length [59], phase of flight [60], ii) a state indicator of an airport, e.g., the estimated take-off time [14], or taxi speed [61]. Authors using these models are mostly capitalizing on framework availability of the past years and often uses them jointly to compare them, using often linear regression as a baseline. Nonetheless, ii)-v) AI models have also been used for other type of predictions such as route choice [62], sector configuration [63], controller action prediction [64], or short-term 4D trajectory prediction [65]. Multi-Agent Systems on their side has been used to model and predict more complex tasks, such as delay propagation on networks [24], or 4D trajectory and to a certain extend traffic prediction [66]. Predicting the traffic and its delays is one key element to enhance the general traffic, its congestion, and better balance the demand and the resources. In this sense, fully understanding the underlining reasons of congestion, trajectory routes, and delay is more than required to better enhance latter traffic. Giving the fact that the different used algorithms have been a focus of the XAI community as mentioned in section 3.2, this category both can have explainability and requires it.

Al *optimization* and *automation* works use mostly a more restricted range of Al model, i) Multi-Agent Systems (MAS), ii) Evolutionary Algorithm (EA), mostly genetic algorithms, and iii) Simulated Annealing (SA). Majority of these works focus on optimizing the traffic and/or avoiding collisions, from the point of view of the trajectories. Traffic optimization works focus in general on one flight phase, such as optimizing *En-Route* traffic using centralized, i.e., SA [42] or EA [37], or decentralized, i.e., MAS [67], [68], arrival [69], departure [70], ground optimization, or the whole airport traffic [71]. Notable other focus of Al model for optimization are optimizing airspace structure, such as route network [72], sectors [73], and optimizing a trajectory [74]. Optimizing the general traffic and avoiding collision is the most important thing to enhance the general traffic and its safety. Due to the utmost importance of the safety in ATM, fully understanding the underlying reasons of conflict avoidance procedures, sequencing, or any other optimization result, is required to be accepted and used by human operators such as ATCo. The different algorithms used have been a bit neglected by the XAI community as seen





in section 3.2. Hence, adding explainability could require more time and efforts, but it is of the utmost importance to add it to this category.

Analysis of ATM activities using AI model is mostly composed of i) techniques that clusterize e.g., DBSCAN, BIRCH, or auto-encoder NN—trajectories in order to analyse the different factor influencing such as route choice [75], arrival [76], or delays [77] in order to understand the different influencing factors and/or as a first analysis to latter predict, or ii) more precise analysis, such as trajectory analysis to detect ATCos actions [78], or speech recognition and analysis or utterance of ATCos [79]. Adding explainability could be interesting, and direct with certain methods like auto-encoder NN in particular, but explainability seems less required in this category.

AI modelling in ATM is mostly performed using multi-agent systems, which is unsurprising, considering their importance in simulation in many domains, such as the simulation of car traffic. Nonetheless, AI modelling is not that prevalent in ATM compared to other domains since in ATM, modelling and simulation are mostly made using records, and mathematical models [80] e.g., BADA [81], ASTOR. Multi-Agent modelling is quite broad, stretching from modelling aircraft arrival to assess risks in TMA [82], simulate network delay [24], simulation of air traffic [83], or simulate the all ATM environment [84]. Other AI models found focused on more simple tasks, such as modelling go-around pilot decision [85], with neural network (NN). Latest work on graph neural network [86] could possibly become important in modelling in the future, such has modelling an ATCOs [87]. In a sense, AI modelling most of the time start from the underlying reasons motivating actions of the different actors taking part in the simulated world. This is particularly true with MAS systems that are dominant in this category, where MAS designers try to represent the different entities in the system, their actions and reasoning, and they let emerge global state for the agent interactions. Explainability could be added to explain some emerging behaviours i.e., delay propagation, and have a valuable impact to the global understanding. Nonetheless, in general, explainability is less required in this category and is already provided to a certain extend.

Category		Prediction	Optimization / Automation	Analysis	Modelization / Simulation
Multi-Agent	System (MAS)	[88] [89] [66] [83] [90] [91] [92]	[72] [68] [80] [93] [94] [95] [96] [97]	[98]	[66] [80] [82] [99] [100] [101] [66] [83] [90] [89] [91] [92] [84]
	Evolutionary Algorithm (EA)	[102]	[103] [104] [105] [106] [107] [108] [73] [109] [110]		
Metaheuristics	Simulated Annealing (SA)		[111] [112] [113] [74] [69] [71] [70] [110]		
	Ant Colony Optimization algorithm(ACO)		[114]		

Table 2. Different AI algorithms/models used in the different categories in ATM





	Neural Network (NN)	[115] [58] [59] [116] [63] [117] [118] [60] [119] [85] [120]	[121] [87]	[85] [78] [76] [79]	[85] [87]
Neural Network	Deep Deterministic Policy Gradient (DDPG)		[122]		
	Deep Q- Network (DQN)		[123]		
	Random Forest (RF)	[61] [124] [125] [126] [127] [65] [62] [128] [129] [64] [130]			
Tree-based	Quantile Regression Forest	[125] [126]			
	Gradient Boosting Machine (GBM)	[59] [116] [124] [127] [62] [131] [128] [129]			
	Decision Trees	[124] [129]			
Support Vecto	r Machine (SVM)	[102] [60] [62] [128]	[121]		
Fuzz	y Logic	[132]			
Decreasion	Linear Regression	[59] [117] [60] [62] [75] [133]	[121]	[134] [75] [135] [136] [137]	[133]
Regression	Binary logistic regression models			[138]	
	Bayesian Network	[139]			
Bayesian	Recursive Bayesian Estimation	[140]	[141]		
	Dynamic Bayesian Belief Network	[142]			[142]
	BIRCH			[132]	
Clustering	DBSCAN			[132]	
	OPTIC			[77]	





	Gaussian	[143]			
	Mixture Model				
Non Negative Matrix				[144]	
Factorization (NMF)					
A*			[105]		
Not referenced			[145]		

Below different categories of AI/ML algorithms are listed with a generic overview, motivation, and connection with the sub-four tasks i.e., Prediction, Optimization/Automation, Analysis and Modelization/Simulation:

Multi-Agent System (MAS): There are many definitions of the term agent as well as many paradigms using it. A commonly accepted definition of an agent is that it is "an autonomous physical or virtual entity able to act (or communicate) in a given environment given local perceptions and partial knowledge. An agent acts in order to reach a local objective given its local competence" [146]. In a nutshell, a MAS is a system composed of various agents interacting between themselves and their environment.

The paradigm being inherently decentralized, and focused on the different entities composing a system, for example the airplanes, it is highly appreciated in the simulation community in many domains—car traffic for example—, and can be found in air traffic simulation as well, even if it is in the minority among all the simulation works in this domain, for air traffic simulation [66] [80] [90] [83] [66] [100] [101], delay propagation simulation [89] [91] [92], arrivals [82] [99] ,or the whole ATM environment [84].

Interestingly, even if the MAS are first created to simulate objects—e.g., airplanes composing the air traffic—, the more accurate the behaviours of the different actors of the simulation is, the more the MAS simulated traffic can be used to predict the future state of the simulated objects—e.g., the air



Figure 6. A MAS optimizing the traffic by letting airplanes agents (MEAi) decide of the trajectory of the airplane i, by choosing, according to the perceived environment—mostly neighbours airplane—, an action it can perform [97].





traffic—if inputted with real data like traffic prediction [66], delay prediction [89], or meteorological indicators [88].

On another note, MAS can also be used to solve complex problem in a decentralized way, in ATM it has particularly been to optimize *En-Route* air traffic [97] [68] [94] [95] [96], the capacity/demand balance [93], or the route network [72].

The strengths of MAS lie in the natural decomposition of the problem that comes with its use—e.g., optimizing the traffic is the result of the interactions of airplane optimizing their trajectories—and a more understandable global result—e.g, the trajectories in previous example—, since it results of understandable entities and their understandable behaviour that can be traced and understood easily.

Metaheuristics: Metaheuristics can be defined as "high level concepts for exploring search spaces by using different strategies. These strategies should be chosen in such a way that a dynamic balance is given between the exploitation of the accumulated search experience (which is commonly called intensification) and the exploration of the search space (which is commonly called diversification)" [147]. Metaheuristics is a category of algorithm containing lots of different type of algorithm, presented in figure 7. In this state of the art, three categories have been found:

- Genetic Algorithms (GA)
- Simulated Annealing (SA)
- Ant Colony Optimization algorithm (ACO)

Most of the applications of these categories are made to optimize the traffic and/or avoid conflicts, most of the time only in one flight phase, such as optimizing *En-Route* trajectories with SA [42] or EA [37], but also optimizing arrivals [69], departure [70], ground optimization, or the whole airport traffic [71]. Those algorithm can also be used to optimize the airspace structure, like sectors [73], or optimize a trajectory [74].







Figure 7. Euler diagram of the different classifications of metaheuristics, Johann Dréo via Wikimedia Commons

Neural Network: A neural network (NN) is a system designed to act like a human brain. It's simple but prevalent in our day-to-day lives. A complex definition would be that a NN is a computational model that has a network architecture. This architecture is made up of artificial neurons. This structure has specific parameters through which one can modify it for performing certain tasks. They have extensive approximation properties. This means they can approximate a function to any level of accuracy irrespective of its dimension. NN find extensive applications in areas where traditional computers don't fare too well. From Siri to Google Maps, neural networks are present in every place where Artificial Intelligence is used. They are a vital part of artificial intelligence operations. NN take inspiration from the human brain and so their structure is like one as well. A NN has many layers. Each layer performs a specific function, and the complex the network is, the more the layers are. That's why a neural network is also called a multi-layer perceptron. The simple form of a neural network has three layers: input layer, hidden layer, and output layer. As the names suggest, each of these layers has a specific purpose. These layers are made up of nodes. There can be multiple hidden layers in a neural network according to the requirements. The input layer picks up the input signals and transfers them to the next layer. It gathers the data from the outside world. The hidden layer performs all the back-end tasks of calculation. A network can even have zero hidden layers. However, a NN has at least one hidden layer. The output layer transmits the result of the hidden layer's calculation. Like other machine learning applications, you will have to train a neural network with some training data as well before you provide it with a particular problem. NN consisting of many hidden layers called Deep learning. There are several Deep-learning architectures such as deep neural networks, deep belief networks, deep reinforcement learning, recurrent neural networks, and convolutional neural networks.





Considering ATM domain, literature show that NN has been deployed in many tasks such as prediction, optimization, analysis and simulation. Several ATM tasks related to prediction such as runway configuration prediction [115], aircraft climb prediction [58], predicting aircraft descent length [59], aircraft phase of flight prediction [60], take -off time prediction [116] and [117], predicting sector configuration transitions [63], airport capacity prediction [119], predictive modelling for decision making [85], prediction of the propagation of trajectory uncertainty [120] have been conducted using NN. Again, other ATM task such as optimization tasks for aircraft landing [121] and free air-space [87], analysis tasks such as pilot decision making [85], controller action [78], trajectory clustering [76], context-aware speech recognition and understanding system for air traffic control [79] and simulation tasks [87] have been performed where NN has been deployed. Deep learning NN such as Deep Deterministic Policy Gradient (DDPG) model [122] for conflict detection, and Deep Q-Network (DQN) [123] for aircraft sequencing and separation have been developed.

Tree-based Models: Mostly Random Forest (RF) is witnessed to be used in various task related to ATM. RF is one of the ensemble methods for supervised learning, which is developed with several binary decision trees, thus it is called forest. Here, the target value is predicted based on the results from several distinct trees. During the classification or regression, the decision is made at each level of the trees depending on the values of different features. For the two different tasks, i.e., classification and prediction, the outcome of the model is determined through voting or averaging the individual outcome of the trees respectively. Generally, randomized bootstrapping process is followed while training a RF classifier/regressor. Here, the training set is sampled several times depending on the predefined number of trees in the forest. All the samples contain same number of instances and chosen randomly. Since, the process is performed by replacing the samples time and again, the effect of duplicate and missing records persists. After generating the sample sets, decision trees are built for each of the samples. A tree is grown by an iterative splitting of the data sample into two parts based on a decision criterion that gives the reduction in variance to determine the quality of a split [125]. The Quantile Regression Forest (QRF) trains in a similar way of training a RF except the handling of values at the leaves of the distinct trees. In a classical RF regression model, the average of all the values from the leaves are stored. On the contrary, in QRF, all the observations are preserved. During the prediction phase, individual weights are utilised to generate quantile function that generates the final prediction.

Gradient Boosting Machine (GBM): GBM is a boosting algorithm that ensembles new predictors with a view to correct its predecessor models. Generally, GBM tries to fit in new predictors built on the residual errors from the previously built predictors. The ultimate concept behind this model is to build a strong model from an ensemble of several weak models. There main advantages of GBM is increased performance accuracy reducing bias and variance with less number of trees than other tree-based models like RF. On the contrary, GBM is prone to overfitting and cannot run in parallel like RF or QRF which can be considered as the limitations of GBM [148]. From the literature, it was observed that, the tree-based models are mostly used in prediction tasks from the ATM domain as described in Table 2.

Support Vector Machine (SVM): SVM is a supervised machine learning algorithm commonly used in pattern recognition, which can be used for solving both classification and regression problems. The goal of the SVM algorithm is to find a hyperplane in an N-dimensional feature space that minimizes the empirical classification error and maximizes the geometric margin in the classification. The data points that maximize the margin are called support vectors. Support vectors lie closer to the hyperplane, influence the orientation and position of the hyperplane. The advantage of using SVM is that it can map the original data points from the input space to a high dimensional feature space so that the classification problem becomes simple in this feature space. Besides, SVM is effective for training a model with high dimensional features and memory-efficient since a subset of training points, Founding Members





i.e., support vectors, are used in decision making. Also, SVM can be versatile as it can use different kernel (similarity) functions for the decision function.

In the ATM domain, SVM primarily used in prediction tasks such as trajectory prediction [60] [62] [128] and fuel consumption prediction [102]. Although SVM has been proven to be a good algorithm in pattern recognition, however, based on the results of [60] [62] [128], SVM has not been found as the best performing algorithm in trajectory prediction. On the other hand, support vector regressors could quickly and accurately estimate the cheapest-sequence cost for fuel consumption prediction [102].

Fuzzy Logic: Fuzzy logic is basically used to handle uncertainty based on the fuzzy set's theory. This is used to illustrate a real-world concepts where no precise definition of criteria for membership exist [132]. The membership functions are applied to define the degree of truth of features. And the logic operators e.g., AND, OR, NOT are applied as minimum, maximum, and complement operation. The basic steps in fuzzy inference system are a) crisp value as input, b) crisp values are converted into fuzzy membership functions, c) fuzzy rules-rules evaluation-aggregation, d) defuzzification. The authors in [132], applied fuzzy logic beside other machine learning algorithms to identify flight phase in aircraft performance models. Here, other machine learning models such as clustering methods are used to create sub-clusters, but they cannot handle the certain level of consistency and failed to cluster when there is a flight behavior variance. To solve the issue three input membership are considered i.e., altitude (low, ground, high), rate of climb (zero, positive, negative) and ground speed (high, medium, low) and one output membership function to determine phase (ground, climb, descend, cruise). They have considered four fuzzy rules as [132]:

- 1. If **Altitude** is *ground* and **Speed** is *low*, then **Phase** is *ground*
- 2. If **Altitude** is *low* and **Speed** is *medium*, and **Rate-of-climb** is *positive* then **Phase** is *climb*
- 3. If **Altitude** is *high* and **Speed** is *high*, and **Rate-of-climb** is *zero* then **Phase** is *cruise*
- 4. If **Altitude** is *low* and **Speed** is *medium*, and **Rate-of-climb** is *negative* then **Phase** is *descended*

Regression: Regression analysis is a fundamental concept in the field of machine learning. It falls under supervised learning wherein the algorithm is trained with both input features and output labels. It helps in establishing a relationship among the variables by estimating how one variable affects the other. Regression in machine learning consists of mathematical methods that allow data scientists to predict a continuous outcome (y) based on the value of one or more predictor variables (x). There is different regression model such as linear regression, logistic regression etc. Linear regression is probably the most popular form of regression analysis because of its ease-of-use in predicting and forecasting.

Linear regression finds the linear relationship between the dependent variable and one or more independent variables using a best-fit straight line. Generally, a linear model makes a prediction by simply computing a weighted sum of the input features, plus a constant called the bias term (also called the intercept term). In this technique, the dependent variable is continuous, the independent variable(s) can be continuous or discrete, and the nature of the regression line is linear. Logistic regression on the other hand, is named for the function used at the core of the method, the logistic function. The logistic function, also called the sigmoid function was developed by statisticians to describe properties of population growth in ecology, rising quickly and maxing out at the carrying capacity of the environment. It's an S-shaped curve that can take any real-valued number and map it into a value between 0 and 1, but never exactly at those limits.





linear regression is used mostly for prediction and analysis related ATM tasks. A number of prediction tasks such as predicting aircraft descent length [59], predicting the time to fly and aircraft speed profile on final approach [117], aircraft phase of flight prediction [60], predicting aircraft trajectory choice [62], route choice prediction [75], prediction model for for aircraft performances [133] etc. have been conducted using linear regression model. Also analysis tasks such as identification of significant impact factors on arrival flight efficiency [134], capacity estimation and robustness analysis [135], maximum flow estimation [136] etc. have been exploited using linear regression model. Again logistic regression model such as binary logistic regression model has been deployed for analysis of airspace infringements [138].

Bayesian: Our observations and understanding of the world are limited, and we often need to consider the uncertainty to deal with our imperfect knowledge of the world. Probabilistic models play a vital role in machine learning, which explicitly consider the uncertainty of the real world and can act as a kind of expert system. In machine learning, probabilistic models are generated by Bayesian reasoning that includes Bayesian estimation and Bayesian Belief Networks. Bayes estimator is a decision rule that minimizes the posterior expected value of a loss function. The general design of Bayesian inference using Bayesian estimation works as follows: initial a prior probability is guess based on the observable data. Then successively Bayes rule is applied to update the initial guess and measurement of the observable. The updated distribution obtained this way is known as the posterior [139] [140]. Bayesian Networks (BN) or Bayesian Belief Networks (BBN) are generative models. BN or BBN is a probabilistic graphical model representing the conditional dependencies of a set of random variables using a Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG). For a set of inputs X, and output Y, BN's learns the joint distribution P(X,Y), whereas other machine learning algorithms such as SVM models the conditional distribution P(Y|X). In the ATM domain, Bayesian Networks and Bayesian estimation mainly applied for predict e.g., predicting future location in [139] and ATM Network Delays [142]. It is hard to design the Bayesian Networks and difficult to learn the joint distribution, however, BN's is effective when we have a lot of missing data. Since it is a graphical representation, BNs are visually transparent and can help to capture the cause-effect relationship.

Clustering Algorithms: Several clustering algorithms are prominent in the literature which were deployed in different tasks in ATM domain. Mostly, in analysis-based tasks clustering algorithms, e.g., BIRCH [132], DBSCAN [132] and OPTIC [77] were used. DBSCAN (Density-Based Spatial Clustering of Applications with Noise) is a clustering method that distinguishes data into clusters based on area of high and low density. It uses two fundamental parameters, namely, Eps and MinPts. Here, the maximum distance between two data points in the same neighbourhood is expressed with Eps and the number of data points in the neighbourhood of a core point is presented with MinPts. The prime advantage of DBSCAN is that it can eliminate noise by considering the data points from low density area and performs well with large datasets containing higher number of clusters. Another clustering algorithm BIRCH (Balanced Iterative Reducing and Clustering using Hierarchies) incrementally constructs a Characteristic Feature (CF) tree from the dataset. In the construction of the CF, BIRCH utilises two user-defined constraints - the threshold (T) and the branching factor (B). Finally, the leave nodes of the CF are clustered with an arbitrary clustering algorithm. Both clustering algorithms are proved to be superior in terms of handling outliers and large diverse datasets than other simpler clustering algorithms like K-means [132].

Non-negative Matrix Factorization (NMF): Non-negative Matrix Factorization (NMF), can be defined as methods or algorithms in multivariate analysis and linear algebra where a matrix is factorized into (usually) two matrices, with the property that all three matrices have no negative elements [149]. NMF can be used for dimensionality reduction and data analysis. NMF is particularly relevant when non-Founding Members





negativity is inherent to the data being considered, such as audio spectrograms, such as analysing speech utterance of controllers [144].

A*: A* is a graph traversal and path search algorithm [150] that can easily be used to find shortest path on a route network that is used in ATM, and is used in particular by different simulators to find a first the shortest path for a city pairs before further optimizing it [105].

3.2 Overview on Explainability in AI/ML

This section is dedicated to the second research question (RQ2). Prior to the overview of AI/ML and explainability, it is expedient to form a general understanding of the term explainability in the context of AI/ML. The prime hindrance towards developing the ground knowledge of explainability concerning AI, is the interchangeable use of several terms in the literature, such as, interpretability, transparency, explainability etc. Before proceeding to the literature review, the commonly used terms are presented briefly according to the definitions compiled by Barredo Arrieta et al. [151].

Understandability, often termed as *Intelligibility* also, is the characteristics of a model that makes a user realise its functions. In other words, how the model works without any requirement of further explanation for the model's internal operations on the data. Another similar term that has been used to define the ability of an ML model to represent its learned knowledge to humans in an understandable way is **Comprehensibility**. Clearly the prior terms differ on representing the internal operations on the data and the knowledge acquired from the data. In addition, the terms **Interpretability** and **Transparency** are mostly used in describing similar concepts to *Explainability*. In fact, interpretability refers to a model's ability to provide meaning or explain in an understandable way to human beings. Nonetheless, transparency of a model indicate the ability to be understandable to humans, and there are three types of transparent models [152] -

- *Simulatable Models* have the capacity to make humans understand their structure and functioning entirely.
- *Decomposable Models* can be decomposed into individual components, i.e., input, parameters and output, and their respective intuitions.
- Algorithmically Transparent Models behave "sensibly" in general with some degree of confidence.

Above all, the term *Explainability* affiliates the interface between humans and decisionmakers, which is concurrently comprehensible to humans and accurate representation of the decision-maker [153]. In XAI, explainability is the interface between the models and the end-users through which an end-user gets clarification on the decisions he/she gets from an AI/ML model.

3.2.1 Stages, Scopes and Forms of Explainability

The AI/ML models learn the underlying characteristics of the available data and subsequently try to classify, predict or cluster new data. The stage of explainability refers to the period in the process mentioned above when a model generates the explanation for the decision it provides. The stages are found to be ante-hoc and post-hoc [154]. Brief descriptions of the categorised methods based on these stages are :

• Ante-hoc methods generally consider generating the explanation for the decision from the very beginning of the training on the data while aiming to achieve the optimal performance.





Mostly, explanations are generated using these methods for transparent models, such as, Fuzzy models, Tree-based models etc.

Post-hoc methods comprise an external or surrogate model and the base model. The base
models remain unchanged, and the external model mimics the base model's behaviour to
generate an explanation for the users. Generally, these methods are associated with the
models of which the inference mechanism remains unknown to users, e.g. Support Vector
Machines, Neural Networks etc. Moreover, the post-hoc methods are again divided into two
categories - model-agnostic and model-specific. The model-agnostic methods are applicable
to any AI/ML model, whereas the model-specific methods are confined to particular models.

Scope of Explainability

Scope of explainability defines the extent of an explanation produced by some explainable methods. Vilone and Longo deduced after scanning more than 200 scientific articles published on XAI that the scope of explainability can be either global or local [154]. The whole inferential technique of a model is made transparent or comprehensible to the user at global scope, for example, a decision tree. On the other hand, a single instance of inference is explicitly presented to the user in local scope, for decision trees, a single branch can be termed as a local explanation.

Forms of Explanations

Literature indicates that mostly four different forms of explanations are generated to explain the decisions of the AI/ML models as well as the process of deducing a decision. The forms of explanations are *numeric, rules, textual* and *visual*. The Figure 8 below illustrates the basic forms of explanations. In some of the previous researches, authors used these forms in a combined fashion to make the explanation more understandable and user friendly. All of the forms of explanation are discussed along with the references to key works with the corresponding forms in the subsequent sections.







'if there were nine more bare nucleus, the patient would be classified as malignant rather than benign.'

'The message is classified as spam rather than spam because the word *credit* is used twice as frequent as that of spam message.'

(d)

Figure 8. Different forms of explanations. (a) numeric explanation from confidence itemsets [155], (b) visual explanation with class activation map (CAM) for vibrating cantilever beam by Sun et al. [156], (c) example of rule-based explanation in the form of tree [157] and (d) explanation text generated with GRACE, proposed by Le et al. [158].





3.2.2. Methods for Explainability

The available methods for adding visual explainability to the existing and proposed AI/ML models are clustered based on two properties: i) stage of generating explanation and ii) scope of the explanation. Here visual explanations are prioritized because of its significant utilization in ATM. The summary of the clustering is represented in Table 3 where model-specific methods are cross-referred to the AI/ML model types. A good number of model-agnostic (MA) methods were also deployed to generate in the selected articles of this review, such as Anchors [159], Explain Like I'm Five (ELI5) [160], Local Interpretable Model-agnostic Explanations (LIME) [161], Model Agnostic Supervised Local Explanations (MAPLE) [162] etc. LIME was modified and proposed as SurvLIME by Kovalev et al. [163]. Afterwards, they incorporated well-known Kolmogorov-Smirnov bounds to SurvLIME and proposed SurvLIME-KS [164]. Authors also utilised feature importance to generate numeric explanations in several research works [165]–[168]. Shapley Additive Explanations (SHAP) was proposed by Lundberg and Lee [169], and later it was used by several authors to generate mixed explanations containing numbers, texts and visualisations [170], [171].

Table 3. Methods for explainability for visual explanations with stage (Ah: Ante-hoc, Ph: Post-hoc),scope (L: local, G: global) of explainability, design spaces (P: Prediction, O/A:

Optimisation/Automation, A: Analysis, M/S: Modelling/Simulation) and the type of AI/ML models used for performing the primary tasks.

Method For	AI/ML Model	References	Stage		Scope		Design Space			
Explainability			Ah	Ph	L	G	Р	O/A	Α	M/S
Anchors	Model Agnostic (MA)	[159]		Х	Х	Х	Х			
ANFIS	Fuzzy Model (FM), Genetic Algorithm (GA), Neural Network (NN)	[172]–[175]		Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	
ApparentFlow-net	NN	[176]	Х		Х		Х		Х	
Attention Maps	NN	[177], [178]		Х	Х		Х			
BB-BC IT2FLS	FM	[179]	Х		Х					Х
BN	Bayesian Model (BM)	[180]	Х		Х				Х	
САМ	NN	[156], [181]		Х	Х		Х			Х
Candlestick Plot	NN	[182]		Х		Х			Х	
CIT2FS	FM	[183]	Х		Х			Х		
DeconvNet	NN	[184]		Х	Х	Х	Х			
DTD	NN	[185]		Х	Х				Х	
ELI5	MA	[160]		Х	Х	Х			Х	
Encoder-Decoder	NN	[186]	Х		Х		Х			
ExNN	NN	[187]	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х		
FACE	NN	[188]		Х	Х				Х	
Feature Importance	MA	[165]–[168]		Х	Х	Х	Х			
FINGRAM	Tree-based Model (TM)	[189]	Х		Х		Х		Х	
FormuCaseViz	Case-Based Reasoning (CBR)	[190]		Х	Х				Х	Х
GLAS	MA	[191]		Х	Х		Х			
Grad-CAM	NN	[175], [192], [193]		Х	Х		Х			
HFS	FM	[194]	Х		Х	Х		Х		
iNNvestigate	NN	[195]		Х	Х	Х	Х			
Interpretable Filters	NN	[196]		Х	Х				Х	
KSL	NN	[197]	Х			Х	Х			
LGNN	NN	[198]	Х			Х	Х			
LIME	MA	[160], [199]–[201]		Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	



Linear	NN	[202]		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
Interpretability										
Probes										
LPS	NN	[203]		Х		Х			Х	
LRP	NN, Support Vector	[204]		Х	Х					Х
	Machines (SVM)									
MAPLE	MA	[162]		Х	Х	Х	Х			
MTDT	ТМ	[205]	Х			Х	Х			
MWC, MWP	NN	[206]		Х		Х	Х			Х
Nilpotent Logic	NN	[207]	Х		Х	Х			Х	
Operators										
NMF	Ensemble Model (EM)	[196]		Х	Х				Х	
pGrad-CAM	CBR	[208]		Х	Х		Х			
Prescience	MA	[209]		Х	Х		Х	Х	Х	
PRVC	CBR	[210]	Х		Х	Х		Х		Х
RAVA	MA	[211]	Х			Х		Х		Х
RBIA	CBR	[212], [213]		Х	Х	Х		Х		
RetainVis	NN	[214]		Х	Х	Х			Х	
RuleMatrix	MA	[215]		Х		Х			Х	Х
SHAP	MA	[160], [169]–[171]		Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	
Shapelet Tweaking	EM	[216]		Х	Х				Х	
SRM	Rule-based Model (RM)	[217]			Х	Х		Х	Х	Х
SurvLIME-KS	MA	[164]		Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	
Time-varying	NN	[218]		Х	Х					Х
Neighbourhood										
TreeExplainer	MA	[169]		Х	Х	Х	Х			Х
Tripartite Graph	RM	[219]	Х		Х			Х		

ARTIMATI (N SESAR

3.3 Overview and SotA on Visualization

Lastly, this section tries to address the third research question (RQ3). Visualization technics in ATM can be divided in two big families: i) Information Visualization (InfoVis), and ii) Visual Aalytics (VA), visualization to analyse, in this context is the traffic.

The first family in the following, regroup mostly all the work in ergonomics e.g., to seize how the colours influence the operators, assess the impact on the operator of the way information is displayed and mostly evaluate new ways to display information to Air Traffic Controllers (ATCos).

The second family regroups methods that help in extracting and understanding information from data by visualizing it, either i) from a unique and static visualization perspectives e.g., with dimension reduction, new way to visualize the data and, ii) by combining the visualisation with interactions techniques.

3.3.1 Visualization in ATM

Visualization is heavily used in ATM, in ATC where it is a critical tool. As a result, lot of work will assess how any visualization or changes in the visualization might change the ATCo perception of the airspace, trajectory behaviour, or its results.





As an example, visualization works focus on i) the way airplane present and past positions are displayed, e.g. by representing past movements by means of symbols showing the last 5-8 aircraft positions called the comet [220], or the rate at which this comet is refreshed [221], [222], ii) the way notifications are displayed and designed [223], for example change in aircraft parameters [224], iii) a visual support for conflict detection and resolution, for speed and heading [225], or for speed, heading, and altitude [226]–[229].

Immersive environments are also used in this domain, like virtual or mixed representation of the strips used by the controllers [230], virtual reality to represent the ATCo radar view[231] or, remote tower or related concepts [232]–[234].

This family stays at the edge of our interest for the ARTIMATION project. While we will keep in mind the different available visualizations, we will not review it more than this small presentation. The following section presents visual analytics techniques applied to ATM.

3.3.2 Visual Analytics in ATM

Thomas and Cook in [235] defines visual analytics (VA) as "the science of analytical reasoning facilitated by interactive visual interfaces. People use visual analytics tools and techniques to synthesize information and derive insight from massive, dynamic, ambiguous, and often conflicting data; detect the expected and discover the unexpected; provide timely, defensible, and understandable assessments; and communicate assessment effectively for action". This definition clearly emphasize on how visual analytics as a domain seems appropriate to be applied to explain artificial intelligence, in particular machine learning that generates lot of data, from the data set used to learn, to the result given by the system, passing by how the model it works internally.

In ATM, different VA methods have been used. Most of them focused on the visualization and the analysis of the trajectories and can be separated in two sub-categories: i) methods that try to represent all the data in a unique and static visualization, mostly by aggregating trajectories, and ii) methods that combine visualization and interaction to analysis the data. Nevertheless, both categories can be used jointly to explore the dataset and completement themselves. Additionally, both categories present methods using classical visualisation or interaction tools e.g., WIMP techniques (windows, icon, mouse, pointers) and methods using newest tools e.g., immersive environment or virtual reality.

'Static' Visual Analytic

Naive representations of the aircraft trajectory data rapidly suffer from cluttering, and providing unique static visualization of the trajectories may help the end user e.g., ATCos to understand different aspect of the traffic, like indicators e.g., extraction and representation of wind data [236] which may provide important information to him, or more general view of traffic partly or fully.

Among the general view of the traffic, aggregation of aircraft trajectories is widely represented and provide interesting insights about the traffic and its patterns [237]–[239], like flight corridors and their usages in function of the circumstances e.g., weather conditions and supports measure for identifying air traffic complexity [240]. In particular, density maps have been well used to analysis aircraft trajectories, to simply summarise the traffic in an areas [241], [242], potentially with detail and overview technics [237], [239], [243], eventually combined with other metrics such as conflict probabilities [244]. As an example, in [241], authors used density maps to aggregate flight direction of airplanes, density of airplanes, and more generally analyse local air traffic impact regarding noise, and





violations of air traffic regulations. Temporal bundling of the trajectories i.e., bundling evolving with time, statical with different view of different time windows, or dynamically evolving with time for the visualization of trajectories have also been widely used on different large datasets [245] [246], [247]. This aggregation technique can also successfully add additional attributes while bundling the data, like the direction of the aircraft or time—hence not separating time windows— enhancing its value for ATCOs [248]. Aggregating trajectories based on their functional decomposition—i.e., the decomposition of the trajectory with functions—has also proven to be effective with bundling [249]. In general, bundling and aggregation have proven to be effective to give insights about the traffic and will surely be used to explain decisions of AI systems on trajectories.



Figure 9: Trajectory Bundling with time window of a day of traffic in the USA Airspace [245] Combining both aggregation and particle systems by using animations to visualize the direction of the movement provide a good trade-off between the aggregation and the representation of the complete dataset [250] [246], benefiting from the general overview, and keeping an aggregated information.

Interactive Visual Analytics

Interacting with the dataset through visualization is also a reliable way to explore and analyse data. It implies using techniques to encode e.g., labelling, colouring, select, annotate, and/or arrange the data in order to extract insights from the visualization. As an example, brushing, visual selection, and linking i.e., display the selected data in another view are effective ways to explore large number of trajectories [251]. The previous selection can be enhanced by adding new constraints to the selected data, such as direction range to better filter it, and can also be annotated to be compared with other annotated sub







dataset [250]. Filtering can be performed using uniquely the trajectory data e.g., filtering trajectory by date [237], [238], [252], or associated with regulation rules [253].

Figure 10: (a) Brushing+Linking of trajectory data from [251], (b) Boolean addition of different filters, annotation and comparison from [250]

Finally, interaction and aggregation can also provide a powerful way to analyse aircraft trajectories. Density-based and graph-based techniques, as well as edge-bundling, have been combined with brushing+linking techniques [252], filtering [237], [238], and more complex interactive framework using analytical procedure for pairwise comparison of trajectories [242], [254]. Generally, aggregation allows to seize a general idea of the dataset, while interaction techniques allow to seize the nuances, and enhance the mind map one had of the dataset. Combining aggregation, filtering, brushing+linking technics have been quite effective to extract information from data and will surely be used to explain decision and process of AI systems on trajectories.

Immersive Visual Analytics

Virtual reality is also an interesting tool to visualise of aircraft trajectories, and corresponding data, such as weather information [255] e.g., wind speed, wind direction. Previously seen visualisation and interactions can benefit from Virtual Reality (VR), with the depth dimension, such as brushing and linking [256], filtering using 3D shapes [257], and combining interactions with reactive aggregation to explore statistical data of trajectories [258].

Nonetheless, Immersive analytics is not widely used in ATM, despite its promising uses in different domains [259], combining VR with touch input surfaces to support the analysis of medical images, analyse badminton trajectory data by combining VR brushing with a display of statistical data and using VR controller to support immersive filtering—using virtual stroke to select trajectories—, or hierarchical brushing+linking in augmented reality [260]. Further exploration of Immersive Visual Analytics to analysis trajectory data and explain AI decisions is very promising.

Figure 11. Immersive Visual Analytics tool: Fiber Clay [256]







4 Human-centered AI

Today's technologies are being developed with human centric. The purpose is to keep human in the loop. Now, humans can be integrated or kept in the loop in two ways. Lifelong Machine Learning (LML) and Human-centered AI.

4.1 Lifelong Machine Learning

The current trend of using ML is to train an ML model on a given dataset and then run that model for tasks like prediction, classification or clustering on a new dataset. If it requires updating the model with a new dataset, then the whole ML training process needs to carry out again. This paradigm is referred to as isolated learning since it does not consider any previously learned knowledge from the past model [261]. On the contrary, we human use previously learned knowledge to solve problems and to make a decision. The issue of isolated learning is that it does not retain, accumulate, and use past knowledge for future learning and decision-making as a human does. Lifelong machine learning (LML) is the learning paradigm that mimics the human learning process and capability, that is, using gained knowledge from previous tasks seamlessly in future learning and over time learning more and more to become more knowledgeable.

Definition of LML

LML is a continuous learning process. The general idea of LML is that a system has performed n tasks. When the system is doing (n+1)th task, it uses the knowledge obtained from the n task to complete the (n+1)th task. Here the system needs to accumulate the knowledge in a knowledge base and, when required, retain that knowledge from previous learning. We can define LML as follows:

Consider at a given time a learning is performed *n* sequence of learning tasks, $T_1, T_2,...,T_n$. These tasks are called previous tasks and each of them have corresponding datasets D_1 , D_2 ,..., D_n . Here tasks can be same or different type and can come from same or different domains. The learner utilizes and takes the leverage of previous knowledge from the knowledge base (KB) when the learner has to solve a new or current task T_{n+1} with the new dataset D_{n+1} . The goal of LML is to optimize the performance on the new task T_{n+1} , but it can optimize on any task by treating the rest of the tasks as the previous tasks. KB maintains the knowledge learned and accumulated from learning the prior tasks. After completing learning T_{n+1} , KB is updated with the knowledge (e.g., intermediate and the final results) gained from learning T_{n+1} . The updating can involve consistency checking, reasoning, and meta mining of additional higher-level knowledge.

The key characteristics of a LML are:

- Should have continuous learning process
- Accumulation and maintenance of the knowledgebase
- Ability to use past knowledge in future learning
- Ability to discover new tasks
- Ability to learn while working on the task

Figure 12 shows a schematic architecture of a LML system that consist of six components, i.e., task manager, task-based knowledge miner, knowledge-based learner, Knowledge Base, model, and the application domain. The task manager is responsible for receiving and managing tasks in the system. Tasks can come sequential manner or can be discovered in the ATM application domain. The centre





part of LML architecture is the Knowledge Base that stores previous knowledge learned by a machine learning algorithm. The learned knowledge can be the past information obtained from the learning, such as the outcome of a machine learning model, patterns identified from data etc. The knowledge base can also include meta-knowledge and meta knowledge miner that store suitable knowledge representation schemes. Here, the application is the ATM domain for which the model learns new knowledge and discover new tasks. The ATM application domain also sends feedback to the knowledge-based learner for future improvements of the LML model. Two other essential parts, i.e., task-based knowledge miner and knowledge-based learner, mine knowledge and continually update and improve the LML model utilising the knowledge base.



Figure 12. Lifelong Machine learning system Adapted from [261]

Approaches for LML

Traditionally in ML, a model is developed, tuned, and validated before deployment. Historical data is used and tested with some unseen data (test dataset) for generalization during the model development process. This approach is known as learning in isolation, and in the future, if it requires training the model again with a new dataset, the existing model forgets all the trained information. In LML, this problem of forgetting is known as catastrophic forgetting. New approaches to continuous learning have been proposed in recent years, but this research is still in its infancy. Some state-of-theart models of Deep Learning and neural networks, to name a few, are Elastic Weight Consolidation (EWC), Learning without Forgetting (LwF), and progressive neural network. Many different approaches address catastrophic forgetting and try to imitate various aspects of how mammals' brains are behaving during learning. When a mammal learns a solution to a task, the synapse's plasticity between neurons is reduced [262]. That means connections between neurons are strengthened and the knowledge learned is more likely to be remembered by the brain. The human brain can also leverage the knowledge acquired for one task to improve other tasks' learning. There are solutions such as iCaRL (Incremental Classifier and Representational Learning) that instead directly try to deal with the problem, slowly adding classes over time [263]. iCaRL demonstrates a solution based partially on knowledge distillation, meaning it learns from a more extensive, already-trained network. Several approaches have been proposed for LML that are as follows:

Elastic Weight Consolidation





Inspired by how the human brain addresses continual learning, an approach named Elastic Weight Consolidation (EWC) was suggested by Kirkpatrick et al. [262]. The idea is to identify the weights and biases necessary for a specific task and constrain them not to change too much.

Learning without Forgetting

A method proposed by Li and Hoiem [264], Learning without Forgetting (LwF), uses the new tasks images during training to maintain the knowledge from the previous tasks. The network records the response for the new task's images using the old task and updates the weights in the network where it has a low impact on the old task predictions.

Progressive Neural Network

As Rusu et al. [5] suggested, a progressive neural network is an approach that leverages the previously gained knowledge, and it is immune to catastrophic forgetting. The idea is to add new columns of weights for different tasks so that the learned knowledge remains intact while the network learns another task, and the new task can draw benefit from the acquired knowledge. With the use of non-linear lateral connection adapters [265], they reduce the dimensionality from simply duplicating the network and in the case of dense layers, another option is used.

Grow-When-Required Network

Suggested by Parisi and Ji [266], the Grow-When-Required Network is a model that employs unsupervised learning and can adapt its size in term of neurons and connections depending on the need. They show results both in terms of utilizing less memory and having a more efficient network while still addressing catastrophic forgetting.

Online Lifelong and Continual Learning

Existing LMLs are mainly taking either batch learning or takes offline training and testing approach. They perform no learning after the learned model is deployed in its intended application, i.e., no learning while working on a task or the job. The problems and challenges of online LML are addressed by Liu, Bing [267]. Gautam et al. [268] has proposed continual learning for zero-shot learning (CZSL) that combines the concept of experience replay with knowledge distillation and regularization. The problem of catastrophic forgetting is overcome by utilizing knowledge distillation, where a model is trained with the sample's dark knowledge.

4.2 SotA on Human Centric AI model in ATM

Al is now increasingly entering the mainstream and supporting high consequence human decisions. However, the effectiveness of these systems will be limited by the machine's inability to explain its thoughts and actions to human users in these critical situations, and fully understand the needs and desire of the end-user.

The goal of human-centred AI is to improve the cooperation between the machine and its computing capacity, and the operator and his ability to adapt and make decisions, placing the human in the centre of the decision process, in other word, place back human-computer interactions (HCI) in XAI [269]. Creating such AI systems for the human by the human requires the end-user to be able to understand, trust, and interact with these algorithms, requiring many user-centred innovative algorithm visualizations, interfaces, and toolkits [161], [270], [271], [272], [273], making human-centric AI a cooperative [274], [275] and continuous [276] process. Enabling the human to understand the machine has been the primary focus of explainability in the last decade. Nonetheless, developed XAI





systems are more directed to the developer or the debugger than the final end-user [1]. Although some are focused on explanation that might be presented to non-developer [161], [277]. Little justification is provided for choosing different explanation types or representations, and it is unclear why these explanations will be feasibly useful to actual users or simply understood [278]. Because some researchers argue against [152] it. Already existing formal psychological theories which are greatly summarized for XAI in [279], [280], [281], [282], are poorly used to guide explanations facilities, as argued in [1], [283]. The last concern is essential to move towards human-centric AI since it is essential to understand how humans think and also being able to adapt to different ways of thinking. As a second or parallel step can be to to understand what information they seek, and what are their biases that impair their reasoning, in order to [283] understand what reasoning method trigger actual XAI facilities, and how can XAI can be leveraged to mitigate decision biases.

Furthermore, explanation is both a product and a process, in particular a social process [284]. XAI systems require to fully understand the user, which means to adapt to the one that receive the explanation [283], [285]. This is crucial to determine the explanation requirements for a given problem, and understand the 'why' behind actions of the user [269]. Furthermore, understanding is rquired to adapt to its socio-technical environment since the AI user will interact with other humans outside of the 1-1 human-computer interaction, and thus trust should be transitive to them [286]. Lastly, the systems also needs to understand the user to be able to interact with the user, which here is beneficial in both ways, i.e., human understanding the machine and machine understanding the human. In order to adapt while the XAI systems is in use and not only during the development process to enhance the explainability, adapt to the user and possibly provide information which is not about the internal state of the AI system [287].

4.3 SotA on Cognitive Human-Al-Interaction Interfaces in ATM

Indeed in the ATC system, the controller's work is highly cognitively demanding. Activities for managing air traffic, such as solving conflicts, maintaining separation between aircraft and coordinating air traffic, involve cognitive processes such as visual scanning, information processing, decision making, and attention. In this panorama, technologies and techniques based on the analysis of neurophysiological signals [e.g., the electroencephalogram (EEG), the galvanic skin response (GSR), etc.] have the potential of providing reliable information about operators' internal state (namely neurometrics) [288], and understanding. For example, if the operator's workload is exceeding his/her cognitive capacity, or if some kind of incapacitation is occurring, the neurophysiological signals can identify them. In this regard, neurometrics related to the operator's internal states become a necessary information to realize a so called Cognitive-HMI (C-HMI, i.e. machine trust in the human). A Cognitive-HMI is one which automatically adapts the information displayed and functions available based on an assessment of operator cognitive state and environmental conditions. The system may also use this assessment to execute actions autonomously along an escalating scale of automation (i.e. adaptive automation, [289]).

The concept of adaptive automation goes beyond traditional modes of human–computer interaction. It entails that the system receives information on the operators' physical and cognitive status, to then adapt its behavior. Examples of such application are the following:

• interface changes to reduce visual clutter (e.g., filtering nonrelevant flights), or to ease visual scanning tasks (e.g., increasing salience of alarms);





- changes to interaction modalities to support hand-free operations or to offload the visual channel (e.g., haptic or aural feedback would be typical cases);
- shifts in the processing logic for data filtering or decision support, e.g., moving to a less conservative detection logic to reduce the number of nonrelevant alerts being displayed.

In recent years, several neuroergonomic systems, which use neurophysiological measures to trigger changes in the state of automation, have been studied and their impact on operators' performance has been analyzed [290]. Pieces of evidence show that people not only think of adaptive systems as "co-workers," but also expect them to behave like humans. Despite its potential advantages, AA also holds a potential pitfall. The dynamic behavior changes of adaptive systems make it more complex and less predictable for the user. Situations in which users are surprised and confused by "what is the system doing?" must be minimized. In this regard, trust in automation is a long-standing issue in ATM. EUROCONTROL investigated conflict resolution assistants/advisories nearly 20 years ago [291], and they never achieved acceptance by air traffic controllers, with some early lessons discernible [292]. These and earlier studies highlight the need to consider the opinion of the end user. Some lessons can be learned from flight deck automation, most notably the introduction of first generation of glass cockpits [293]. The concept of AA may hence need to be revisited or fine-tuned, to increase the operator acceptance. It may be that an intermediate stepping stone is needed, such as adaptable automation. In this scenario, the user can trigger advanced automation at her/his discretion, avoiding confusion and retaining the sensation of being in control. Users could also regain control if things go wrong, and the automation can no longer cope with the situation. In this context, the research contribution of neurometrics could address the following two important issues:

- 1. Neurometrics could define the thresholds by which to activate the transitions between the automation levels (lower or higher than the previous one). Thresholds may be binary (ON-OFF), or more accurate along larger time windows, to avoid continuous transitions, and to consider cumulative effects. For instance, a medium-high workload level lasting for several minutes could trigger the same transition as a very high workload peak. Similarly, after a sustained period of work with high automation, the same level could be maintained for some time, even with low workload to ease recovery.
- 2. Neurometrics could provide scientific validation of the AA effectiveness, for instance by showing an actual workload decreasing after the AA intervention.

Byrne and Parasuraman [294] assessed that the advantage of applying neurometrics in triggering AA was very clear, but the "effective application of psychophysiology in the regulatory role may require years of effort and considerable maturation in technology". Nowadays, 25 years later, such "effective application" could become reality due to the progresses in Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCI) research. Briefly, a BCI is defined as "a system that measures Central Nervous System (CNS) activity and converts it into artificial output that replaces, restores, enhances or improves natural CNS output and thereby changes the ongoing interactions between the CNS and its external or internal environment" [295]. Such definition summarizes the progresses of the scientific community in this field during the last decades, since at the moment the possibility of using the BCI systems outside the laboratories by developing applications in everyday life is not just a theory but a potential reality [296]. This technology has been defined as passive Brain-Computer Interface (pBCI). In particular, in pBCI technologies, the system recognizes the spontaneous brain activity of the user related to the considered mental state (e.g., emotional state, workload, attention levels), and uses such information to improve and modulate the interaction between the operator and the system itself. Thus, in the context of AA, the pBCIs (or in other words C-HMI) perfectly match the needs of the system in terms of Human-Machine Interaction [297]. Example of C-HMI has been provided by Aricò and colleagues in the framework of the project NINA [298], realizing an AA system based on a continuous and online measure of an EEG-based Founding Members





workload index experienced by operator. Authors demonstrated the effectiveness of the AA system in mitigating overload situation, and so to enhance ATCOs performance. In another work, within the context of the MINIMA project, Di Flumeri and colleagues demonstrated how a similar system, based instead on the online measure of EEG-based vigilance could be used to prevent out-of-the-loop phenomenon, during the ATM control of futuristic interfaces [299], [300]. Also, Borghini and colleagues, within the framework of STRESS project, demonstrated the possibility to measure even online the stress of ATCOs during operational tasks, and how to employ this measure to enhance the human performance envelop of operators [301], [302].

As a conclusion, it has to be underlined that Neurometrics, employed in the framework of Cognitive-HMI for ATM, could not only provide machine for determining whether the human is still performing within acceptable parameters, before adjusting variable autonomy (i.e. machine trust in the human), but also if he/she is accepting the machine's XAI explanation, before adapting that explanation if needed (i.e. human trust in the machine).





5 Discussion

For the ARTIMATION project, this SotA identified the potential ATM tasks where AI and XAI are needed, for example, Take-off Time Prediction, Delay Propagation, and/or Conflict Avoidance. Based on the data availability and feasibility, the project can decide an area of the ATM task to be investigated. While studying the transparency in AI algorithms in ATM this report considers: 1) SotA on AI/ML in ATM, 2) a general explainability in AI/ML, and 3) SotA on Visualization. In the SotA on AI/ML in ATM, the study found 4 sub-groups in the design space of AI/ML in ATM, and they are: predication, optimization/automation, analysis, and modeling/simulation. These are the selection criteria set for the algorithms. Basing bon them, the algorithms are selected that best suits the purposes. The study considers the last 10 years of related publications, however, most of the publications are observed between the years 2014 and 2021, where prediction and optimization/automation are the most frequent ATM tasks that implement AI/ML algorithms. The study found a list of AI/ML algorithms that have been applied in the ATM domain recently. Among them i) Multi-Agent Systems (MAS), ii) Neural Network (NN), iii) Random Forest (RF), iv) Gradient Boosting Machine (GBM), v) Support Vector Machine (SVM), and vi) Linear Regression are used frequently for prediction purpose. On the other hand, for the optimization i) Multi-Agent Systems (MAS), ii) Evolutionary Algorithm (EA), mostly genetic algorithms, and iii) Simulated Annealing (SA) are considered and a majority of these works focus on optimizing the traffic and/or avoiding collisions, from the point of view of the trajectories. Thus, the mentioned algorithms will be considered as the most potential for the ARTIMATION project. At the same time, while studying explainability in AI/ML, a list of different algorithms is observed that can be used for explainability, e.g., SHAP, LIME, Grad-CAM, ANFIS, etc. However, these algorithms haven't been used for the ATM domain or at least not found in the recent publication yet to the best of our knowledge.

For the ARTIMATION project, to make the AI/ML algorithm more transparent and explainable, the study also identified different techniques for visualization, it can be either i) from a unique and static visualization perspectives e.g., with dimension reduction, new way to visualize the data and, ii) by combining the visualisation with interactions techniques. Immersive environments are also used in this domain, like virtual or mixed representation. Visual analytics is another potential to be considered, such as 'Static' Visual Analytic, Interactive Visual Analytics, and/or Immersive Visual Analytics. Based on the data set availability, the complexity of the task, and the level of transparency ARTIMATION road map will decide what algorithm should be used.

ARTIMATION project also considers human-centered AI, that is human and AI system can learn from each other through human-computer interactions (HCI) implement in XAI system to understand, trust, and interact with these algorithms, requiring many user-centred innovative algorithm visualizations, interfaces, and toolkits. This study also investigates the potential algorithms for life-long machine learning e.g., Elastic Weight Consolidation (EWC), Learning without Forgetting (LwF), and progressive neural network. Again, Cognitive-HMI (C-HMI, i.e., machine trust in the human) is identified for the project as it automatically adapts the information displayed and functions available based on an assessment of operator cognitive state and environmental conditions. Thus, this report will help the ARTIMATION from an overall perspective, i.e., the report will work as the foundational knowledge for the direction/roadmap of the development of XAI system in ATM.

So, the state-of-the-art report will be used for the ARTIMATION project as:





- We have studied several ATM tasks as potential where AI and ML will be developed with explanation. For example, Delay Propagation and Conflict Avoidance is considered as ATM tasks in ARTIMATION.
- As per the above mentioned several AI/ML algorithms listed in the ATM domain and for ARTIMATION, Decision Tree and Random Forest is selected.
- For explanation in AI part, SHAP, LIME, and ANFIS are selected for the ARTIMATION project.
- For ARTIMATION, the level of transparency will be provided based on Visual analytics, such as 'Static' Visual Analytic, Interactive Visual Analytics, and/or Immersive Visual Analytics.
- Lifelong Machine Learning in ARTIMATION will consider Elastic Weight Consolidation (EWC), Learning without Forgetting (LwF), and progressive neural network.

Above mentioned bullet points are the potential algorithm techniques which will be inputted in Task 3.2 and Task 3.3. In this task, further workshops will be conducted where ATM experts and AI experts will be involved. Based on the results from the workshop, a roadmap will be created which will be presented through Deliverable 3.2. This roadmap will be followed till the end of the project.





6 Conclusions

One of the main goals of this WP is to define the specifications regarding AI-based solutions employment in the ATM field and related support in operational activities based on a state of the art (SotA) studies on AI solutions characteristics, together with weaknesses of the current solutions. The report presents a general background with related work in the ATM domain where several related ongoing/finished projects in the ATM domain are addresses. To identify AI transparency in ATM, first, a state of the art of AI/ML algorithms in the ATM domain is analysed. The state-of-the-art provides specific ML/AI algorithms that are analysed here. Then an overview of AI/ML and their explainability is provided. Again, a state-of-the-art study on different visualization technics and approaches in the ATM domain are given. Finally, an overview on lifelong machine learning with human-centered AI is presented where a SotA on human centric AI model development and a SotA on cognitive human-AI-interaction interfaces in the ATM domain is presented. Several other issues in ATM are identified that can be solved by AI in the future.





7 References

- [1] S. T. Mueller, R. R. Hoffman, W. Clancey, A. Emrey, and G. Klein, 'Explanation in Human-Al Systems: A Literature Meta-Review, Synopsis of Key Ideas and Publications, and Bibliography for Explainable Al', ArXiv190201876 Cs, Feb. 2019, Accessed: Jan. 08, 2021. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1902.01876
- [2] A. Rehman, 'Machine learning based air traffic control strategy', Int. J. Mach. Learn. Cybern., May 2012, doi: 10.1007/s13042-012-0096-6.
- Y. Chen, L. Zhou, J. Yang, and Y. Yan, 'Big Data Platform of Air Traffic Management', Oct. 2019, pp. 137–141. doi: 10.1109/ICCASIT48058.2019.8973192.
- H. Wang, D. Gong, and R. Wen, 'Air traffic controllers workload forecasting method based on neural network', May 2015, pp. 2460–2463. doi: 10.1109/CCDC.2015.7162334.
- [5] N. P. Tran, D. Pham, S. K. Goh, S. Alam, and V. Duong, 'An Intelligent Interactive Conflict Solver Incorporating Air Traffic Controllers' Preferences Using Reinforcement Learning', Apr. 2019, pp. 1–8. doi: 10.1109/ICNSURV.2019.8735168.
- [6] 'Air Traffic Management', 2020. www.skybrary.aero/index.php/Air_Traffic_Management_(ATM)
- [7] K. Gopalakrishnan and H. Balakrishnan, 'A comparative analysis of models for predicting delays in air traffic networks', *MIT Web* Domain, Jun. 2017, Accessed: Apr. 10, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://dspace.mit.edu/handle/1721.1/114750
- [8] P. Monmousseau, A. Marzuoli, E. Feron, and D. Delahaye, 'Predicting and analyzing US air traffic delays using passenger-centric data-sources', 2019.
- [9] Y. J. Kim, S. Choi, S. Briceno, and D. Mavris, 'A deep learning approach to flight delay prediction', in 2016 IEEE/AIAA 35th Digital Avionics Systems Conference (DASC), Sep. 2016, pp. 1–6. doi: 10.1109/DASC.2016.7778092.
- [10] S. Choi, Y. J. Kim, S. Briceno, and D. Mavris, 'Prediction of weather-induced airline delays based on machine learning algorithms', in 2016 IEEE/AIAA 35th Digital Avionics Systems Conference (DASC), Sep. 2016, pp. 1–6. doi: 10.1109/DASC.2016.7777956.
- [11] J. J. Rebollo and H. Balakrishnan, 'Characterization and prediction of air traffic delays', *Transp. Res. Part C Emerg. Technol.*, vol. 44, pp. 231–241, Jul. 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.trc.2014.04.007.
- [12] B. Thiagarajan, L. Srinivasan, A. V. Sharma, D. Sreekanthan, and V. Vijayaraghavan, 'A machine learning approach for prediction of on-time performance of flights', in 2017 IEEE/AIAA 36th Digital Avionics Systems Conference (DASC), Sep. 2017, pp. 1–6. doi: 10.1109/DASC.2017.8102138.
- [13] B. Yu, Z. Guo, S. Asian, H. Wang, and G. Chen, 'Flight delay prediction for commercial air transport: A deep learning approach', *Transp. Res. Part E Logist. Transp. Rev.*, vol. 125, pp. 203–221, May 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.tre.2019.03.013.
- [14] R. Dalmau Codina, S. Belkoura, H. Naessens, F. Ballerini, and S. Wagnick, 'Improving the predictability of take-off times with Machine Learning: a case study for the Maastricht upper area control centre area of responsibility', in *Proceedings of the 9th* SESAR Innovation Days, 2019, pp. 1–8.
- [15] K. F. Abdelghany, S. S. Shah, S. Raina, and A. F. Abdelghany, 'A model for projecting flight delays during irregular operation conditions', J. Air Transp. Manag., vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 385–394, Nov. 2004, doi: 10.1016/j.jairtraman.2004.06.008.
- [16] J.-T. Wong and S.-C. Tsai, 'A survival model for flight delay propagation', J. Air Transp. Manag., vol. 23, pp. 5–11, Aug. 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.jairtraman.2012.01.016.
- [17] V. Dück, L. Ionescu, N. Kliewer, and L. Suhl, 'Increasing stability of crew and aircraft schedules', Transp. Res. Part C Emerg. Technol., vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 47–61, Feb. 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.trc.2011.02.009.
- [18] C.-L. Wu, 'Inherent delays and operational reliability of airline schedules', *J. Air Transp. Manag.*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 273–282, Jul. 2005, doi: 10.1016/j.jairtraman.2005.01.005.
- [19] L. Hao, M. Hansen, Y. Zhang, and J. Post, 'New York, New York: Two ways of estimating the delay impact of New York airports', *Transp. Res. Part E Logist. Transp. Rev.*, vol. 70, pp. 245–260, Oct. 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.tre.2014.07.004.
- [20] N. Pyrgiotis, K. M. Malone, and A. Odoni, 'Modelling delay propagation within an airport network', *Transp. Res. Part C Emerg. Technol.*, vol. 27, pp. 60–75, Feb. 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.trc.2011.05.017.
- [21] N. Xu, G. Donohue, K. B. Laskey, and C.-H. Chen, 'Estimation of delay propagation in the national aviation system using Bayesian networks', 2005.
- [22] P. Fleurquin, J. J. Ramasco, and V. M. Eguiluz, 'Systemic delay propagation in the US airport network', *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 3, no. 1, Art. no. 1, Jan. 2013, doi: 10.1038/srep01159.
- [23] P. Fleurquin, J. J. Ramasco, and V. M. Eguiluz, 'Data-driven modeling of systemic delay propagation under severe meteorological conditions', *ArXiv13080438 Phys.*, Aug. 2013, Accessed: Apr. 11, 2021. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1308.0438
- Y. Guleria, Q. Cai, S. Alam, and L. Li, 'A Multi-Agent Approach for Reactionary Delay Prediction of Flights', *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 1–1, Dec. 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2957874.
- [25] S. Bratu and C. Barnhart, 'An Analysis of Passenger Delays Using Flight Operations and Passenger Booking Data', *Air Traffic Control* Q., vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 1–27, 2005, doi: 10.2514/atcq.13.1.1.
- [26] H. Ali, Y. Guleria, S. Alam, V. N. Duong, and M. Schultz, 'Impact of stochastic delays, turnaround time and connection time on missed connections at low cost airports', 2019.
- [27] L. Belcastro, F. Marozzo, D. Talia, and P. Trunfio, 'Using Scalable Data Mining for Predicting Flight Delays', ACM Trans. Intell. Syst. Technol., vol. 8, no. 1, p. 5:1-5:20, Jul. 2016, doi: 10.1145/2888402.
- [28] J. Chen and M. Li, 'Chained Predictions of Flight Delay Using Machine Learning', in AIAA Scitech 2019 Forum, American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics. doi: 10.2514/6.2019-1661.
- [29] J. K. Kuchar and L. C. Yang, 'A review of conflict detection and resolution modeling methods', *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 179–189, Dec. 2000, doi: 10.1109/6979.898217.
- [30] J.-C. Latombe, *Robot motion planning*, vol. 124. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.





- [31] S. M. Udupa, 'Collision detection and avoidance in computer controlled manipulators', PhD Thesis, California Institute of Technology, 1977.
- [32] T. Lozano-Pérez and M. A. Wesley, 'An algorithm for planning collision-free paths among polyhedral obstacles', *Commun. ACM*, vol. 22, no. 10, pp. 560–570, 1979.
- [33] T. Lehouillier, M. I. Nasri, F. Soumis, G. Desaulniers, and J. Omer, 'Solving the Air Conflict Resolution Problem Under Uncertainty Using an Iterative Biobjective Mixed Integer Programming Approach', *Transp. Sci.*, 2017.
- [34] T. Lehouillier, J. Omer, F. Soumis, and G. Desaulniers, 'Two decomposition algorithms for solving a minimum weight maximum clique model for the air conflict resolution problem', *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 256, no. 3, pp. 696–712, 2017.
- [35] C. Allignol, N. Barnier, N. Durand, and J.-M. Alliot, 'A new framework for solving en-routes conflicts', in ATM 2013, 10th USA/Europe Air Traffic Management Research and Development Seminar, Chicago, United States, Jun. 2013, p. pp 1-9. [Online]. Available: https://hal-enac.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00828736
- [36] C. Vanaret, 'Hybridation d'algorithmes évolutionnaires et de méthodes d'intervalles pour l'optimisation de problèmes difficiles', PhD Thesis, École Doctorale Mathématiques, Informatique et Télécommunications (Toulouse); 142547247, 2015.
- [37] N. Durand and J.-B. Gotteland, 'Genetic Algorithms Applied to Air Traffic Management', in *Metaheuristics for Hard Optimization Methods and Case Studies*, vol. Chapter 9, Springer, 2006, pp. 277–306. doi: 10.1007/3-540-30966-7.
- [38] C. Vanaret, D. Gianazza, N. Durand, and J.-B. Gotteland, 'Benchmarking conflict resolution algorithms', Berkeley, United States, May 2012. [Online]. Available: https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00863090
- [39] N. Durand and J.-M. Alliot, 'Ant colony optimization for air traffic conflict resolution', 2009.
- [40] C. Peyronne, 'Modélisation mathématique et résolution automatique de conflits par algorithmes génétiques et par optimisation locale continue', PhD Thesis, Université Paul Sabatier-Toulouse III, 2012.
- [41] S. Chaimatanan, D. Delahaye, and M. Mongeau, 'Aircraft 4D Trajectories Planning Under Uncertainties', in 2015 IEEE Symposium Series on Computational Intelligence, 2015, pp. 51–58. doi: 10.1109/SSCI.2015.18.
- [42] P. Dieumegard, S. Chaimatanan, and D. Delahaye, 'Large Scale Adaptive 4D Trajectory Planning', in 2018 IEEE/AIAA 37th Digital Avionics Systems Conference (DASC), 2018, pp. 1–9. doi: 10.1109/DASC.2018.8569632.
- [43] N. E. Dougui, 'Planification de trajectoires avion: approche par analogie lumineuse.', PhD Thesis, Université Paul Sabatier-Toulouse III, 2011.
- [44] B. Girardet, 'Trafic aérien: détermination optimale et globale des trajectoires d'avion en présence de vent', PhD Thesis, Toulouse, INSA, 2014.
- [45] L. Pallottino, V. G. Scordio, and A. Bicchi, 'Decentralized cooperative conflict resolution among multiple autonomous mobile agents', in 2004 43rd IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC), 2004, vol. 5, pp. 4758–4763. doi: 10.1109/CDC.2004.1429542.
- [46] L. Guys, S. Puechmorel, and L. Lapasset, 'Automatic conflict solving using biharmonic navigation functions', *Procedia-Soc. Behav. Sci.*, vol. 54, pp. 1378–1387, 2012.
- [47] L. Guys, 'Planification de trajectoires d'avions sans conflit: fonctions biharmoniques et fonction de navigation harmonique', PhD Thesis, Université de Toulouse, Université Toulouse III-Paul Sabatier, 2014.
- [48] C. E. Lin and C.-J. Lee, 'Conflict detection and resolution model for low altitude flights', in *Methods and Models in Automation and Robotics (MMAR), 2015 20th International Conference on*, 2015, pp. 406–411.
- [49] N. Durand, 'Constant speed optimal reciprocal collision avoidance', Transp. Res. Part C Emerg. Technol., vol. 96, pp. 366–379, 2018.
- [50] J. Maas, E. Sunil, J. Ellerbroek, and J. Hoekstra, 'The effect of swarming on a voltage potential-based conflict resolution algorithm', 2016.
- [51] G. Roussos, 'Development of Conflict Avoidance Algorithms for Autonomous Aircraft: Applications in Air Traffic Management', PhD Thesis, National Technical University of AthensSchool of Mechanical Enginnering, 2015.
- [52] G. Roussos, D. V. Dimarogonas, and K. J. Kyriakopoulos, '3D navigation and collision avoidance for nonholonomic aircraft-like vehicles', Int. J. Adapt. Control Signal Process., vol. 24, no. 10, pp. 900–920, 2010.
- [53] S. Shandy and J. Valasek, 'Intelligent agent for aircraft collision avoidance', in AIAA Guidance, Navigation, and Control Conference and Exhibit, 2001, p. 4055.
- [54] A. Bicchi and L. Pallottino, 'On optimal cooperative conflict resolution for air traffic management systems', IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst., vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 221–231, 2000.
- [55] H. Marino, P. Salaris, and L. Pallottino, 'Controllability analysis of a pair of 3D Dubins vehicles in formation', *Robot. Auton. Syst.*, vol. 83, pp. 94–105, 2016, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.robot.2016.05.015.
- [56] R. Breil, 'Système multi-agents pour l'auto-structuration du trafic aérien', PhD Thesis, Université de Toulouse, Université Toulouse III-Paul Sabatier, 2017.
- [57] N. Durand, D. Gianazza, J.-B. Gotteland, and J.-M. Alliot, *Metaheuristics for air traffic management*. Wiley Online Library, 2016.
- [58] R. Alligier, 'Predictive Distribution of the Mass and Speed Profile to Improve Aircraft Climb Prediction', presented at the ATM 2019, 13th USA/Europe Air Traffic Management Research and Development Seminar, Jun. 2019. Accessed: Mar. 14, 2021.
 [Online]. Available: https://hal-enac.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02138151
- [59] R. Alligier, D. Gianazza, and N. Durand, 'Predicting aircraft descent length with machine learning', 2016.
- [60] S. Kovarik *et al.*, 'Comparative Analysis of Machine Learning and Statistical Methods for Aircraft Phase of Flight Prediction', Jun. 2020.
- [61] T.-N. Tran, D.-T. Pham, S. Alam, and V. Duong, 'Taxi-speed Prediction by Spatio-Temporal Graph-based Trajectory Representation and Its Applications', presented at the ICRAT 2020, 9th International Conference for Research in Air Transportation, Jul. 2020.
- [62] Y. Liu, M. Hansen, M. Ball, and D. Lovell, 'Predicting Aircraft Trajectory Choice -- A Nominal Route Approach', Jul. 2018.
- [63] T. Dubot, 'Predicting sector configuration transitions with autoencoder-based anomaly detection', 2018, p. 8.
- [64] D.-T. Pham, S. Alam, S. Yi-Lin, and V. N. Duong, 'A machine learning apporach on past ads-b data to predict planning controller's actions', *Tech Rep*, 2018.





- [65] T.-H. Le, P. Tran, D.-T. Pham, M. Schultz, and S. Alam, 'Short-Term Trajectory Prediction Using Generative Machine Learning Methods', presented at the ICRAT 2020, 9th International Conference for Research in Air Transportation, Jul. 2020.
- [66]A. Rantrua, 'Simulation massive de monde virtuel par système multi-agent auto-adaptatif', phd, Université de Toulouse,
Université Toulouse III Paul Sabatier, 2017. Accessed: Feb. 02, 2021. [Online]. Available: http://thesesups.ups-tlse.fr/3514/
- [67] A. Degas, E. Kaddoum, M.-P. Gleizes, F. Adreit, and A. Rantrua, 'Dynamic Collision Avoidance using Local Cooperative Airplanes Decisions', in 8th International Conference on Research in Air Transportation - ICRAT 2018, Castelldefels, Catalonia, Spain, Jun. 2018, vol. 11, pp. 309–320. doi: 10.1007/s13272-019-00400-6.
- [68] R. Breil, D. Delahaye, L. Lapasset, and É. Féron, 'Multi-agent Systems for Air Traffic Conflicts Resolution by Local Speed Regulation', 2016.
- [69] J. Ma, D. Delahaye, M. Sbihi, and M. Mongeau, 'Merging flows in terminal maneuvering area using time decomposition approach', 2016.
- [70] J. Zhou, S. Cafieri, D. Delahaye, and M. Sbihi, 'Optimization of arrival and departure routes in terminal maneuvering area', in *ICRAT 2014, 6th International Conference on Research in Air Transportation*, 2014, p. pp xxxx.
- [71] J. Ma, D. Delahaye, M. Sbihi, and P. M. Scala, 'Integrated Optimization of Arrival, Departure, and Surface Operations', presented at the ICRAT 2018, 8th International Conference for Research in Air Transportation, Jun. 2018. Accessed: Mar. 15, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://hal-enac.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01823188
- [72] R. Breil, D. Delahaye, L. Lapasset, and É. Féron, 'Multi-agent systems to help managing air traffic structure', J. Aerosp. Oper., vol. 5, no. 1–2, pp. 119–148, Jan. 2017, doi: 10.3233/AOP-170066.
- [73] J. Tang, S. Alam, C. Lokan, and H. A. Abbass, 'A multi-objective evolutionary method for Dynamic Airspace Re-sectorization using sectors clipping and similarities', in 2012 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation, Jun. 2012, pp. 1–8. doi: 10.1109/CEC.2012.6253008.
- [74] L. Ligny, 'FPCA applied to flight paths optimization', presented at the ICRAT 2020, 9th International Conference for Research in Air Transportation, Jun. 2020. Accessed: Mar. 12, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://hal-enac.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02873412
- [75] R. Marcos, O. G. C. Ros, and R. Herranz, 'Combining Visual Analytics and Machine Learning for Route Choice Prediction Application to Pre-Tactical Traffic Forecast', 2017. Accessed: Mar. 11, 2021. [Online]. Available: /paper/Combining-Visual-Analytics-and-Machine-Learning-for-Marcos-Ros/8916cc4060e4ae6a3ec7127fe36792c815714bfe
- [76] X. Olive, L. Basora, B. Viry, and R. Alligier, 'Deep Trajectory Clustering with Autoencoders', presented at the ICRAT 2020, 9th International Conference for Research in Air Transportation, Sep. 2020. Accessed: Mar. 12, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://halenac.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02916241
- [77] G. Andrienko, N. Andrienko, G. Fuchs, and J. M. C. Garcia, 'Clustering Trajectories by Relevant Parts for Air Traffic Analysis', IEEE Trans. Vis. Comput. Graph., vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 34–44, Jan. 2018, doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2017.2744322.
- [78] X. Olive, J. Grignard, T. Dubot, and J. Saint-Lot, 'Detecting Controllers' Actions in Past Mode S Data by Autoencoder-Based Anomaly Detection', Nov. 2018.
- [79] Y. Oualil, D. Klakow, G. Szaszák, A. Srinivasamurthy, H. Helmke, and P. Motlicek, 'A context-aware speech recognition and understanding system for air traffic control domain', in 2017 IEEE Automatic Speech Recognition and Understanding Workshop (ASRU), 2017, pp. 404–408.
- [80] A. Degas, 'Auto-structuration de trafic temps-réel multi-objectif et multi-critère dans un monde virtuel', phd, Université de Toulouse, Université Toulouse III - Paul Sabatier, 2020. Accessed: Feb. 01, 2021. [Online]. Available: http://thesesups.upstlse.fr/4651/
- [81] V. Mouillet, 'User Manual for the Base of Aircraft Data (BADA) Revision 3.14', tech. rep., EUROCONTROL Experimental Centre, Brétigny, France, 2017.
- [82] S. Förster, H. Fricke, and M. Vogel, 'Using Agent-Based Modeling to Determine Collision Risk in Complex TMA Environments', 2018.
- [83] A. Rantrua, S. Chabrier, and M.-P. Gleizes, 'Adaptive Air Traffic with Big Data Analysis', in 1st EWG-DSS International Conference on Decision Support System Technology : Big data analytics for decision-making (ICDSST 2015), Belgrade, Serbia, May 2015, vol. 1, pp. 46–52. Accessed: Feb. 02, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01712592
- [84] I. García Vasco, M. Valero García, X. Prats Menéndez, and L. Delgado, 'Agent-based simulation framework for airport collaborative decision making', 2014.
- [85] S. R. Shah, A. Campbell, and A. Campbell, 'Analyzing Pilot Decision-Making Using Predictive Modeling', presented at the ICRAT 2018, 2018. Accessed: Mar. 14, 2021. [Online]. Available: /paper/Analyzing-Pilot-Decision-Making-Using-Predictive-Shah-Campbell/2545f896ecc39f480d9120951dd65c2c2a9bdedd
- [86] F. Scarselli, M. Gori, A. C. Tsoi, M. Hagenbuchner, and G. Monfardini, 'The graph neural network model', *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw.*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 61–80, 2008.
- [87] J. Mollinga and H. Hoof, 'An Autonomous Free Airspace En-route Controller using Deep Reinforcement Learning Techniques', Jul. 2020.
- [88] K. Legrand, D. Delahaye, and C. Rabut, 'Wind and Temperature Networking Applied to Aircraft Trajectory Prediction', 2016.
- [89] Y. Guleria, Q. Cai, S. Alam, and L. Li, 'A Multi-Agent Approach for Reactionary Delay Prediction of Flights', IEEE Access, vol. 7, pp. 1–1, Dec. 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2957874.
- [90] A. Rantrua, E. Maesen, S. Chabrier, and M.-P. Gleizes, 'Learning aircraft behavior from real air traffic', J. Air Traffic Control, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 10–14, 2015.
- [91] B. Campanelli, J. J. Ramasco, P. Fleurquin, V. M. Eguíluz, I. Etxebarria, and A. Arranz, 'Data-driven modelling of the Tree of Reactionary Delays', 2014.
- [92] P. Fleurquin, J. J. Ramasco, and V. M. Eguiluz, 'Systemic delay propagation in the US airport network', *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 1– 6, 2013.
- [93] T. Kravaris, C. Spatharis, K. Blekas, G. A. Vouros, and J. M. C. Garcia, 'Multiagent Reinforcement Learning Methods for Resolving Demand - Capacity Imbalances', in 2018 IEEE/AIAA 37th Digital Avionics Systems Conference (DASC), Sep. 2018, pp. 1–10. doi: 10.1109/DASC.2018.8569346.





- [94] J. Maas, E. Sunil, J. Ellerbroek, and J. Hoekstra, 'The effect of swarming on a voltage potential-based conflict resolution algorithm', 2016.
- [95] L. Pallottino, V. G. Scordio, and A. Bicchi, 'Decentralized cooperative conflict resolution among multiple autonomous mobile agents', in 2004 43rd IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC) (IEEE Cat. No.04CH37601), Dec. 2004, vol. 5, pp. 4758-4763 Vol.5. doi: 10.1109/CDC.2004.1429542.
- [96] M. Ribeiro, J. Ellerbroek, and J. Hoekstra, 'The Effect of Intent on Conflict Detection and Resolution at High Traffic Densities', presented at the ICRAT 2020, Sep. 2020.
- [97] A. Degas, E. Kaddoum, M.-P. Gleizes, F. Adreit, and A. Rantrua, 'Cooperative multi-agent model for collision avoidance applied to air traffic management', *Eng. Appl. Artif. Intell.*, vol. 102, p. 104286, juin 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.engappai.2021.104286.
- [98] R. J. Teuwen, H. A. Blom, M. H. Everdij, and G. B. Bakker, 'Agent-based safety risk analysis of Trajectory Based Operation in the Terminal Manoevring Area', 2014.
- [99] H. Fricke, S. Förster, and M. Vogel, 'Using agent-based modeling to determine collision risk in complex TMA environments: the turn-onto-ILS-final safety case', *Aeronaut. Aerosp. Open Access J.*, vol. 2, Jun. 2018, doi: 10.15406/aaoaj.2018.02.00046.
- [100] J. M. Hoekstra and J. Ellerbroek, 'Bluesky ATC simulator project: an open data and open source approach', in *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Research in Air Transportation*, 2016, vol. 131, p. 132.
- [101] S. Micciche *et al.*, 'An Agent Based Model of the Air Traffic Management', presented at the SIDs 2013 Proceedings of the SESAR Innovation Days, Nov. 2013.
- [102] S. Gong, 'Research on Civil Aircraft Fuel Consumption in Cruise Phase Based on Least Square Support Vector Regression with Genetic Algorithm', 2018, p. 6.
- [103] C. Allignol, N. Barnier, N. Durand, and J.-M. Alliot, 'A new framework for solving en-routes conflicts', in ATM 2013, 10th USA/Europe Air Traffic Management Research and Development Seminar, Chicago, United States, juin 2013, p. pp 1-9. [Online]. Available: https://hal-enac.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00828736
- [104] D. Delahaye, C. Peyronne, M. Mongeau, and S. Puechmorel, 'Aircraft conflict resolution by genetic algorithm and B-spline approximation', in *EIWAC 2010, 2nd ENRI International Workshop on ATM/CNS*, 2010, p. pp-71.
- [105] J.-B. Gotteland, N. Durand, and J.-M. Alliot, 'Aircraft Ground Traffic Optimization', Aug. 2001.
- [106] G. Marceau, P. Savéant, and M. Schoenauer, 'Multiobjective Pre-tactical Planning Under Uncertainty for Air Traffic Control', May 2013.
- [107] A. Murata, D. Delahaye, and K. Takadama, 'Merging Flows and Optimizing Aircraft Scheduling in Terminal Maneuvering Area Based on GA', in *ICRAT 2018, 8th International Conference for Research in Air Transportation*, 2018, p. 4.

[108] C. Peyronne, 'Modélisation mathématique et résolution automatique de conflits par algorithmes génétiques et par optimisation locale continue', PhD Thesis, Université Paul Sabatier-Toulouse III, 2012.

- [109] C. Vanaret, 'Hybridization of interval methods and evolutionary algorithms for solving difficult optimization problems', ArXiv200111465 Cs Math, Jan. 2020, Accessed: Feb. 01, 2021. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/2001.11465
- [110] C.-H. Cheng, S. C. Ho, and C.-L. Kwan, 'The use of meta-heuristics for airport gate assignment', *Expert Syst. Appl.*, vol. 39, no. 16, pp. 12430–12437, 2012.
- [111] S. Chaimatanan, D. Delahaye, and M. Mongeau, 'Strategic deconfliction of aircraft trajectories', in *ISIATM 2013, 2nd International Conference on Interdisciplinary Science for Innovative Air Traffic Management*, 2013, p. p-xxxx.
- [112] P. Dieumegard, S. Chaimatanan, and D. Delahaye, 'Large Scale Adaptive 4D Trajectory Planning', in 2018 IEEE/AIAA 37th Digital Avionics Systems Conference (DASC), Sep. 2018, pp. 1–9. doi: 10.1109/DASC.2018.8569632.
- [113] B. Girardet, 'Trafic aérien: détermination optimale et globale des trajectoires d'avion en présence de vent', PhD Thesis, Toulouse, INSA, 2014.
- [114] N. Durand and J.-M. Alliot, 'Ant colony optimization for air traffic conflict resolution', 2009.
- [115] M. S. Ahmed, S. Alam, and M. Barlow, 'A Multi-Layer Artificial Neural Network Approach for Runway Configuration Prediction', presented at the ICRAT 2018, 2018. Accessed: Mar. 14, 2021. [Online]. Available: /paper/A-Multi-Layer-Artificial-Neural-Network-Approach-Ahmed-Alam/16b5b6eef75229b4ce95e1ddaa2c0855071a5a1d
- [116] R. Dalmau Codina, S. Belkoura, H. Naessens, F. Ballerini, and S. Wagnick, 'Improving the predictability of take-off times with Machine Learning: a case study for the Maastricht upper area control centre area of responsibility', in *Proceedings of the 9th* SESAR Innovation Days, 2019, pp. 1–8.
- F. F. Herrema, V. Treve, R. Curran, and H. G. Visser, 'Evaluation of feasible machine learning techniques for predicting the time to fly and aircraft speed profile on final approach: Predictive dynamic support tool on final approach', 7th Int. Conf. Res. Air Transp., 2016, Accessed: Mar. 16, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://repository.tudelft.nl/islandora/object/uuid%3A77d3739b-cfb3-48f7aaf4-a789328104e1
- [118] A. Jardines, M. Soler, J. García-Heras Carretero, and A. Rovira, 'Neural Network based Convection Indicator for Pre-Tactical Air Traffic Flow Management', Jul. 2020.
- [119] N. P. Meijers, 'Data-driven predictive analytics of runway occupancy time for improved capacity at airports', Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2019.
- [120] T. Zeh, J. Rosenow, R. Alligier, and H. Fricke, 'Prediction of the Propagation of Trajectory Uncertainty for Climbing Aircraft', in DASC 2020 IEEE/AIAA 39th Digital Avionics Systems Conference, San Antonio, United States, Oct. 2020, pp. 1-9 / ISBN:978-1-7281-8088–5. doi: 10.1109/DASC50938.2020.9256711.
- [121] S. Ikli, C. Mancel, M. Mongeau, X. Olive, and E. Rachelson, 'Coupling Mathematical Optimization and Machine Learning for the Aircraft Landing Problem', Tampa, United States, Jun. 2020. Accessed: Mar. 12, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://halenac.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02873423
- [122] M. J. Ribeiro, J. Ellerbroek, and J. M. Hoekstra, 'Improvement of Conflict Detection and Resolution at High Densities Through Reinforcement Learning', *ICRAT 2020*, 2020, Accessed: Mar. 14, 2021. [Online]. Available:
- https://repository.tudelft.nl/islandora/object/uuid%3Ad3bf3c0d-16bf-4ca4-b695-2868d761c129
- [123] M. Brittain and P. Wei, 'Autonomous Aircraft Sequencing and Separation with Hierarchical Deep Reinforcement Learning', Jun. 2018.







- [124] I. Dhief, Z. Wang, M. Liang, S. Alam, M. Schultz, and D. Delahaye, 'Predicting Aircraft Landing Time in Extended-TMA Using Machine Learning Methods', presented at the ICRAT 2020, 9th International Conference for Research in Air Transportation, Sep. 2020. Accessed: Mar. 12, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://hal-enac.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02907597
- S. Forster, M. Schultz, and H. Fricke, 'Probabilistic Prediction of Time To Fly using Quantile Regression Forest', Jul. 2020, p. 8.
 S. Förster, M. Schultz, and H. Fricke, 'Probabilistic Prediction of Separation Buffer to Compensate for the Closing Effect on Final Approach', *Aerospace*, vol. 8, no. 2, p. 29, 2021.
- [127] A. M. Hernández, E. J. C. Magaña, and A. G. Berna, 'Data-driven Aircraft Trajectory Predictions using Ensemble Meta-Estimators', in 2018 IEEE/AIAA 37th Digital Avionics Systems Conference (DASC), Sep. 2018, pp. 1–10. doi: 10.1109/DASC.2018.8569535.
- [128] M. Mateos, I. Martín, P. García, Ricardo, Herranz, and O. G. Cantu-Ros, 'Full-scale pre-tactical route prediction Machine Learning to increase pre-tactical demand forecast accuracy', presented at the ICRAT 2020, 2020. Accessed: Mar. 12, 2021. [Online]. Available: /paper/Full-scale-pre-tactical-route-prediction-Machine-to-Mateos-Mart%C3%ADn/75243f851a9c20445d92c9a53cd0bf54ee4cd928
- [129] A.-D. Nguyen, D.-T. Pham, N. Lilith, and S. Alam, 'Model generalization in arrival runway occupancy time prediction by feature equivalences', 2020.
- [130] J. Buchmüller, H. Janetzko, G. Andrienko, N. Andrienko, G. Fuchs, and D. A. Keim, 'Visual Analytics for Exploring Local Impact of Air Traffic', *Comput. Graph. Forum*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 181–190, 2015, doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/cgf.12630.
- [131] D. Martínez, S. Belkoura, S. Cristobal, Innaxis, and F. Herrema, 'A Boosted Tree Framework for Runway Occupancy and Exit Prediction', presented at the ICRAT 2018, 2018. Accessed: Mar. 12, 2021. [Online]. Available: /paper/A-Boosted-Tree-Frameworkfor-Runway-Occupancy-and-Mart%C3%ADnez-Belkoura/1a232bfb551e762e6a4ee6e99232359a64c7728d
- [132] J. Sun, J. Ellerbroek, and J. Hoekstra, 'Large-scale flight phase identification from ads-b data using machine learning methods', 2016.
- [133] M. Hrastovec and F. Solina, 'Machine Learning Model for Aircraft Performances', May 2014. doi: 10.1109/DASC.2014.6979682.
- [134] A. Lemetti, T. Polishchuk, V. Polishchuk, R. Sáez, and X. Prats, 'Identification of Significant Impact Factors on Arrival Flight Efficiency within TMA', Jun. 2020.
- [135] K.-C. Pien, 'A linear programming approach for capacity estimation and robustness analysis of the European air traffic network', 2015.
- [136] K.-C. Pien, A. Majumdar, K. Han, and W. Y. Ochieng, 'A linear programming approach to maximum flow estimation on the European air traffic network', 2014.
- [137] X. Sun, S. Wandelt, and F. Linke, 'Topological properties of the air navigation route system using complex network theory', 2014.
- [138] E. Psyllou, A. Majumdar, and W. Ochieng, 'Analysis of Airspace Infringements in European Airspace', 2014.
- [139] C. Le Tallec, D. Taurino, C. Lancia, and J. Verstraeten, 'Predicting the future location of a general aviation aircraft', 2014.
- [140] J. Sun, H. A. P. Blom, J. Ellerbroek, and J. M. Hoekstra, 'Aircraft Mass and Thrust Estimation Using Recursive Bayesian Method', 2018, p. 8.
- [141]H. Taheri and A. Lyhyaoui, 'Airport ground traffic optimisation', presented at the ICRAT 2018, 2018. Accessed: Mar. 14, 2021.
[Online]. Available: http://www.icrat.org/ICRAT/seminarContent/2018/papers/ICRAT_2018_paper_90.pdf
- [142] Y. B. Kaya and G. Inalhan, 'A Dynamic Bayesian Belief Network Approach for Modelling the ATM Network Delays', in *International Conference on Research in Air Transportation*, 2014, pp. 26–30.
- [143] H. Paek, K. Lee, and A. E. Vela, 'En-route Arrival Time Prediction using Gaussian Mixture Model', presented at the ICRAT 2020, 2020. Accessed: Mar. 14, 2021. [Online]. Available: /paper/En-route-Arrival-Time-Prediction-using-Gaussian-Paek-Lee/603dce1a09c41dd153e039b71950fdcc62b0989c
- [144] M. Enriquez, 'Classification of Air Traffic Controller Utterance Transcripts via Warm-Start Non-Negative Matrix Factorization', Air Traffic Control Q., vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 137–156, Apr. 2014, doi: 10.2514/atcq.22.2.137.
- [145] M. Kleinert *et al.*, 'Machine Learning of Controller Command Prediction Models from Recorded Radar Data and Controller Speech Utterances', Nov. 2017.
- [146] J. Ferber, *Multi-agent systems: an introduction to distributed artificial intelligence*, vol. 1.
- [147] C. Blum and A. Roli, 'Metaheuristics in combinatorial optimization: Overview and conceptual comparison', ACM Comput. Surv. CSUR, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 268–308, 2003.
- [148] A. M. Hernández, E. J. C. Magaña, and A. G. Berna, 'Data-driven Aircraft Trajectory Predictions using Ensemble Meta-Estimators', in 2018 IEEE/AIAA 37th Digital Avionics Systems Conference (DASC), Sep. 2018, pp. 1–10. doi: 10.1109/DASC.2018.8569535.
- [149] I. S. Dhillon and S. Sra, 'Generalized nonnegative matrix approximations with Bregman divergences', in *NIPS*, 2005, vol. 18.
- [150] P. E. Hart, N. J. Nilsson, and B. Raphael, 'A formal basis for the heuristic determination of minimum cost paths', *IEEE Trans. Syst. Sci. Cybern.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 100–107, 1968.
- [151] A. Barredo Arrieta *et al.*, 'Explainable Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI): Concepts, Taxonomies, Opportunities and Challenges toward Responsible Al', *Inf. Fusion*, vol. 58, no. December 2019, pp. 82–115, Jun. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.inffus.2019.12.012.
- [152] Z. C. Lipton, 'The Mythos of Model Interpretability: In machine learning, the concept of interpretability is both important and slippery.', *Queue*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 31–57, 2018.
- [153] R. Guidotti, A. Monreale, S. Ruggieri, F. Turini, F. Giannotti, and D. Pedreschi, 'A Survey of Methods for Explaining Black Box Models', ACM Comput. Surv., vol. 51, no. 5, pp. 1–42, Jan. 2019, doi: 10.1145/3236009.
- [154] G. Vilone and L. Longo, 'Explainable Artificial Intelligence: A Systematic Review', May 2020, [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/2006.00093
- [155] M. Moradi and M. Samwald, 'Post-hoc Explanation of Black-box Classifiers using Confident Itemsets', *Expert Syst. Appl.*, vol. 165, p. 113941, Mar. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.eswa.2020.113941.
- [156] K. H. Sun, H. Huh, B. A. Tama, S. Y. Lee, J. H. Jung, and S. Lee, 'Vision-Based Fault Diagnostics Using Explainable Deep Learning With Class Activation Maps', *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 129169–129179, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3009852.
- [157] L. Lindsay, S. Coleman, D. Kerr, B. Taylor, and A. Moorhead, 'Explainable Artificial Intelligence for Falls Prediction', in Advances in Computing and Data Sciences, vol. 1244, M. Singh, P. K. Gupta, V. Tyagi, J. Flusser, T. Ören, and G. Valentino, Eds. Singapore: Springer Singapore, 2020, pp. 76–84. doi: 10.1007/978-981-15-6634-9_8.





- [158] T. Le, S. Wang, and D. Lee, 'GRACE: Generating Concise and Informative Contrastive Sample to Explain Neural Network Model's Prediction', in Proceedings of the 26th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining, New York, NY, USA, Aug. 2020, pp. 238–248. doi: 10.1145/3394486.3403066.
- [159] M. T. Ribeiro, S. Singh, and C. Guestrin, 'Anchors: High-precision Model-agnostic Explanations', in Proceedings of the 32nd AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI 2018, 2018, pp. 1527–1535. [Online]. Available: https://dblp.org/rec/conf/aaai/Ribeiro0G18.html
- [160] O. Serradilla, E. Zugasti, C. Cernuda, A. Aranburu, J. R. de Okariz, and U. Zurutuza, 'Interpreting Remaining Useful Life Estimations Combining Explainable Artificial Intelligence and Domain Knowledge in Industrial Machinery', in *Proceedings of the 2020 IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems (FUZZ-IEEE)*, Jul. 2020, vol. 2020-July, pp. 1–8. doi: 10.1109/FUZZ48607.2020.9177537.
- [161] M. T. Ribeiro, S. Singh, and C. Guestrin, "Why Should I Trust You?" Explaining the Predictions of Any Classifier', in *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, New York, NY, USA, Aug. 2016, pp. 1135–1144. doi: 10.1145/2939672.2939778.
- [162] G. Plumb, D. Molitor, and A. Talwalkar, 'Model Agnostic Supervised Local Explanations', in *Proceedings of the 32nd International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS '18)*, Monteréal, Canada, Jul. 2018, pp. 2520–2529. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1807.02910
- [163] M. S. Kovalev, L. V. Utkin, and E. M. Kasimov, 'SurvLIME: A Method for Explaining Machine Learning Survival Models', Knowl.-Based Syst., vol. 203, p. 106164, Sep. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.knosys.2020.106164.
- [164] M. S. Kovalev and L. V. Utkin, 'A Robust Algorithm for Explaining Unreliable Machine Learning Survival Models using Kolmogorov-Smirnov Bounds', *Neural Netw.*, vol. 132, pp. 1–18, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.neunet.2020.08.007.
- [165] E. Štrumbelj and I. Kononenko, 'Explaining Prediction Models and Individual Predictions with Feature Contributions', *Knowl. Inf. Syst.*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 647–665, Dec. 2014, doi: 10.1007/s10115-013-0679-x.
- [166] J.-R. Rehse, N. Mehdiyev, and P. Fettke, 'Towards Explainable Process Predictions for Industry 4.0 in the DFKI-Smart-Lego-Factory', *KI - Künstl. Intell.*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 181–187, Jun. 2019, doi: 10.1007/s13218-019-00586-1.
- [167] H. Anysz, \Lukasz Brzozowski, W. Kretowicz, and P. Narloch, 'Feature Importance of Stabilised Rammed Earth Components Affecting the Compressive Strength Calculated with Explainable Artificial Intelligence Tools', *Materials*, vol. 13, no. 10, p. 2317, May 2020, doi: 10.3390/ma13102317.
- [168] E. Pintelas, M. Liaskos, I. E. Livieris, S. Kotsiantis, and P. Pintelas, 'Explainable Machine Learning Framework for Image Classification Problems: Case Study on Glioma Cancer Prediction', J. Imaging, vol. 6, no. 6, p. 37, May 2020, doi: 10.3390/jimaging6060037.
- [169] S. M. Lundberg and S. I. Lee, 'A Unified Approach to Interpreting Model Predictions', in *Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, *NIPS*'17, 2017, pp. 4766–4775. [Online]. Available: https://dl.acm.org/doi/abs/10.5555/3295222.3295230
- [170] X. Wang, D. Wang, C. Xu, X. He, Y. Cao, and T.-S. Chua, 'Explainable Reasoning over Knowledge Graphs for Recommendation', in Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Jul. 2019, vol. 33, pp. 5329–5336. doi: 10.1609/aaai.v33i01.33015329.
- [171] T. Ponn, T. Kröger, and F. Diermeyer, 'Identification and Explanation of Challenging Conditions for Camera-Based Object Detection of Automated Vehicles', *Sensors*, vol. 20, no. 13, p. 3699, Jul. 2020, doi: 10.3390/s20133699.
- [172] D. Bonanno, K. Nock, L. Smith, P. Elmore, and F. Petry, 'An Apporach to Explainable Deep Learning using Fuzzy Inference', in *Next-Generation Analyst V*, May 2017, vol. 10207. doi: 10.1117/12.2268001.
- [173] M. Aghamohammadi, M. Madan, J. K. Hong, and I. Watson, 'Predicting Heart Attack Through Explainable Artificial Intelligence', in *Computational Science – ICCS 2019*, vol. 11537 LNCS, J. M. F. Rodrigues, P. J. S. Cardoso, J. Monteiro, R. Lam, V. V. Krzhizhanovskaya, M. H. Lees, J. J. Dongarra, and P. M. A. Sloot, Eds. Springer International Publishing, 2019, pp. 633–645. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-22741-8 45.
- [174] B. M. Keneni *et al.*, 'Evolving Rule-Based Explainable Artificial Intelligence for Unmanned Aerial Vehicles', *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 17001–17016, 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2893141.
- [175] H.-Y. Chen and C.-H. Lee, 'Vibration Signals Analysis by Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) Approach: Application on Bearing Faults Diagnosis', *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 134246–134256, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3006491.
- [176] Q. Zheng, H. Delingette, and N. Ayache, 'Explainable Cardiac Pathology Classification on Cine MRI with Motion Characterization by Semi-supervised Learning of Apparent Flow', *Med. Image Anal.*, vol. 56, pp. 80–95, Aug. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.media.2019.06.001.
- [177] J. Kim and J. Canny, 'Interpretable Learning for Self-Driving Cars by Visualizing Causal Attention', in *Proceedings of the 2017 IEEE* International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV), Oct. 2017, vol. 2017-Octob, pp. 2961–2969. doi: 10.1109/ICCV.2017.320.
- [178] F. Riquelme, A. De Goyeneche, Y. Zhang, J. C. Niebles, and A. Soto, 'Explaining VQA Predictions using Visual Grounding and a Knowledge Base', *Image Vis. Comput.*, vol. 101, p. 103968, Sep. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.imavis.2020.103968.
- [179] E. Ferreyra, H. Hagras, M. Kern, and G. Owusu, 'Depicting Decision-Making: A Type-2 Fuzzy Logic Based Explainable Artificial Intelligence System for Goal-Driven Simulation in the Workforce Allocation Domain', in *Proceedings of the 2019 IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems (FUZZ-IEEE)*, Jun. 2019, vol. 2019-June, pp. 1–6. doi: 10.1109/FUZZ-IEEE.2019.8858933.
- [180] C. S. Vlek, H. Prakken, S. Renooij, and B. Verheij, 'A Method for Explaining Bayesian Networks for Legal Evidence with Scenarios', Artif. Intell. Law, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 285–324, Sep. 2016, doi: 10.1007/s10506-016-9183-4.
- [181] R. Assaf and A. Schumann, 'Explainable Deep Neural Networks for Multivariate Time Series Predictions', in Proceedings of the Twenty-Eighth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, California, Aug. 2019, no. 2, pp. 6488–6490. doi: 10.24963/ijcai.2019/932.
- [182] J. H. Chen, S. Y. C. Chen, Y. C. Tsai, and C. S. Shur, 'Explainable Deep Convolutional Candlestick Learner', in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Software Engineering and Knowledge Engineering, SEKE*, 2020, vol. PartF16244, pp. 234–237. [Online]. Available: https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.02767
- [183] P. D'Alterio, J. M. Garibaldi, and R. I. John, 'Constrained Interval Type-2 Fuzzy Classification Systems for Explainable AI (XAI)', in Proceedings of the 2020 IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems (FUZZ-IEEE), Jul. 2020, vol. 2020-July, pp. 1–8. doi: 10.1109/FUZZ48607.2020.9177671.





- [184] J. Oramas M., K. Wang, and T. Tuytelaars, 'Visual Explanation by Interpretation: Improving Visual Feedback Capabilities of Deep Neural Networks', in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2019*, 2019, no. 2015. [Online]. Available: https://openreview.net/forum?id=H1ziPjC5Fm
- [185] G. Montavon, S. Lapuschkin, A. Binder, W. Samek, and K.-R. Müller, 'Explaining Nonlinear Classification Decisions with Deep Taylor Decomposition', *Pattern Recognit.*, vol. 65, no. November 2016, pp. 211–222, May 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.patcog.2016.11.008.
- [186] I. Rio-Torto, K. Fernandes, and L. F. Teixeira, 'Understanding the Decisions of CNNs: An In-model Approach', *Pattern Recognit.* Lett., vol. 133, pp. 373–380, May 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.patrec.2020.04.004.
- [187] Z. Yang, A. Zhang, and A. Sudjianto, 'Enhancing Explainability of Neural Networks Through Architecture Constraints', *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst.*, pp. 1–12, 2020, doi: 10.1109/TNNLS.2020.3007259.
- [188] R. Poyiadzi, K. Sokol, R. Santos-Rodriguez, T. De Bie, and P. Flach, 'FACE: Feasible and Actionable Counterfactual Explanations', in Proceedings of the AAAI/ACM Conference on AI, Ethics, and Society, New York, NY, USA, Feb. 2020, pp. 344–350. doi: 10.1145/3375627.3375850.
- [189] J. M. Alonso, J. Toja-Alamancos, and A. Bugarin, 'Experimental Study on Generating Multi-modal Explanations of Black-box Classifiers in terms of Gray-box Classifiers', in *Proceedings of the 2020 IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems (FUZZ-IEEE)*, Jul. 2020, vol. 2020-July, pp. 1–8. doi: 10.1109/FUZZ48607.2020.9177770.
- [190] S. Massie, S. Craw, and N. Wiratunga, 'A Visualisation Tool to Explain Case-Base Reasoning Solutions for Tablet Formulation', in Applications and Innovations in Intelligent Systems XII, London: Springer London, 2004, pp. 222–234. doi: 10.1007/1-84628-103-2_16.
- [191] K. Oh, S. Kim, and I.-S. Oh, 'Salient Explanation for Fine-Grained Classification', *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 61433–61441, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2980742.
- [192] R. R. Selvaraju, M. Cogswell, A. Das, R. Vedantam, D. Parikh, and D. Batra, 'Grad-CAM: Visual Explanations from Deep Networks via Gradient-Based Localization', *Int. J. Comput. Vis.*, vol. 128, no. 2, pp. 336–359, Feb. 2020, doi: 10.1007/s11263-019-01228-7.
- [193] L. Brunese, F. Mercaldo, A. Reginelli, and A. Santone, 'Explainable Deep Learning for Pulmonary Disease and Coronavirus COVID-19 Detection from X-rays', *Comput. Methods Programs Biomed.*, vol. 196, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.cmpb.2020.105608.
- [194] L. Magdalena, 'Designing Interpretable Hierarchical Fuzzy Systems', in *Proceedings of the 2018 IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems (FUZZ-IEEE)*, Jul. 2018, vol. 2018-July, pp. 1–8. doi: 10.1109/FUZZ-IEEE.2018.8491452.
- [195] S. M. Lauritsen *et al.*, 'Explainable Artificial Intelligence Model to Predict Acute Critical Illness from Electronic Health Records', *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 1–11, 2020, doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-17431-x.
- [196] Q. Zhang, Y. N. Wu, and S.-C. Zhu, 'Interpretable Convolutional Neural Networks', in *Proceedings of the 2018 IEEE/CVF Conference* on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, Jun. 2018, pp. 8827–8836. doi: 10.1109/CVPR.2018.00920.
- [197] Y. Chou, S. Hong, Y. Zhou, J. Shang, M. Song, and H. Li, 'Knowledge-shot Learning: An Interpretable Deep Model For Classifying Imbalanced Electrocardiography Data', *Neurocomputing*, vol. 417, pp. 64–73, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.neucom.2020.07.045.
- [198] R. Tan, N. Khan, and L. Guan, 'Locality Guided Neural Networks for Explainable Artificial Intelligence', in Proceedings of the 2020 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN), Jul. 2020, pp. 1–8. doi: 10.1109/IJCNN48605.2020.9207559.
- [199] M. T. Ribeiro, S. Singh, and C. Guestrin, 'Model-Agnostic Interpretability of Machine Learning', *ICML Workshop Hum. Interpret. Mach. Learn.*, Jun. 2016, [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1606.05386
- [200] I. Palatnik de Sousa, M. Maria Bernardes Rebuzzi Vellasco, and E. Costa da Silva, 'Local Interpretable Model-Agnostic Explanations for Classification of Lymph Node Metastases', *Sensors*, vol. 19, no. 13, p. 2969, Jul. 2019, doi: 10.3390/s19132969.
- [201] C. Dindorf, W. Teufl, B. Taetz, G. Bleser, and M. Fröhlich, 'Interpretability of Input Representations for Gait Classification in Patients after Total Hip Arthroplasty', *Sensors*, vol. 20, no. 16, p. 4385, Aug. 2020, doi: 10.3390/s20164385.
- [202] M. Graziani, V. Andrearczyk, M. M. S., and H. Müller, 'Concept Attribution: Explaining CNN Decisions to Physicians', Comput. Biol. Med., vol. 123, p. 103865, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.compbiomed.2020.103865.
- [203] B. J. Murray, D. T. Anderson, T. C. Havens, T. Wilkin, and A. Wilbik, 'Information Fusion-2-Text: Explainable Aggregation via Linguistic Protoforms', in *Information Processing and Management of Uncertainty in Knowledge-Based Systems*, vol. 1239 CCIS, M.-J. Lesot, S. Vieira, M. Z. Reformat, J. P. Carvalho, A. Wilbik, B. Bouchon-Meunier, and R. R. Yager, Eds. Springer International Publishing, 2020, pp. 114–127. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-50153-2_9.
- [204] S. Bach, A. Binder, G. Montavon, F. Klauschen, K.-R. Müller, and W. Samek, 'On Pixel-Wise Explanations for Non-Linear Classifier Decisions by Layer-Wise Relevance Propagation', *PLOS ONE*, vol. 10, no. 7, p. e0130140, Jul. 2015, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0130140.
- [205] V. Shalaeva, S. Alkhoury, J. Marinescu, C. Amblard, and G. Bisson, 'Multi-operator Decision Trees for Explainable Time-Series Classification', in *Information Processing and Management of Uncertainty in Knowledge-Based Systems. Theory and Foundations.*, vol. 853, J. Medina, M. Ojeda-Aciego, J. L. Verdegay, D. A. Pelta, I. P. Cabrera, B. Bouchon-Meunier, and R. R. Yager, Eds. Springer, Cham, 2018, pp. 86–99. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-91473-2_8.
- [206] I. Garcia-Magarino, R. Muttukrishnan, and J. Lloret, 'Human-Centric Al for Trustworthy IoT Systems With Explainable Multilayer Perceptrons', *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 125562–125574, 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2937521.
- [207] O. Csiszár, G. Csiszár, and J. Dombi, 'Interpretable Neural Networks based on Continuous-valued Logic and Multicriteria Decision Operators', *Knowl.-Based Syst.*, vol. 199, p. 105972, Jul. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.knosys.2020.105972.
- M. Han and J. Kim, 'Joint Banknote Recognition and Counterfeit Detection Using Explainable Artificial Intelligence', Sensors, vol. 19, no. 16, p. 3607, Aug. 2019, doi: 10.3390/s19163607.
- [209] S. M. Lundberg *et al.*, 'Explainable Machine Learning Predictions to Help Anesthesiologists Prevent Hypoxemia During Surgery', *Nat. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 2, no. 10, pp. 749–760, Oct. 2018, doi: 10.1038/s41551-018-0304-0.
- [210] V. Eisenstadt, C. Espinoza-Stapelfeld, A. Mikyas, and K.-D. Althoff, 'Explainable Distributed Case-Based Support Systems: Patterns for Enhancement and Validation of Design Recommendations', in *Case-Based Reasoning Research and Development*, vol. 11156 LNAI, M. T. Cox, P. Funk, and S. Begum, Eds. Springer International Publishing, 2018, pp. 78–94. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-01081-2_6.







- [211] V. Segura, B. Brandão, A. Fucs, and E. Vital Brazil, 'Towards Explainable AI Using Similarity: An Analogues Visualization System', in Design, User Experience, and Usability. User Experience in Advanced Technological Environments, A. Marcus and W. Wang, Eds. Orlando, FL, USA: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2019, pp. 389–399. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-23541-3_28.
- [212] J. B. Lamy, B. Sekar, G. Guezennec, J. Bouaud, and B. Séroussi, 'Explainable Artificial Intelligence for Breast Cancer: A Visual Case-Based Reasoning Approach', Artif. Intell. Med., vol. 94, no. August 2018, pp. 42–53, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.artmed.2019.01.001.
- [213] J. B. Lamy, K. Sedki, and R. Tsopra, 'Explainable Decision Support through the Learning and Visualization of Preferences from a Formal Ontology of Antibiotic Treatments', J. Biomed. Inform., vol. 104, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.jbi.2020.103407.
- [214] B. C. Kwon *et al.*, 'RetainVis: Visual Analytics with Interpretable and Interactive Recurrent Neural Networks on Electronic Medical Records', *IEEE Trans. Vis. Comput. Graph.*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 299–309, Jan. 2019, doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2018.2865027.
- [215] Y. Ming, H. Qu, and E. Bertini, 'RuleMatrix: Visualizing and Understanding Classifiers with Rules', *IEEE Trans. Vis. Comput. Graph.*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 342–352, Jan. 2019, doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2018.2864812.
- [216] I. Karlsson, J. Rebane, P. Papapetrou, and A. Gionis, 'Locally and Globally Explainable Time Series Tweaking', *Knowl. Inf. Syst.*, vol. 62, no. 5, pp. 1671–1700, May 2020, doi: 10.1007/s10115-019-01389-4.
- [217] A. Anguita-Ruiz, A. Segura-Delgado, R. Alcalá, C. M. Aguilera, and J. Alcalá-Fdez, 'eXplainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) for the Identification of Biologically Relevant Gene Expression Patterns in Longitudinal Human Studies, Insights from Obesity Research', *PLOS Comput. Biol.*, vol. 16, no. 4, p. e1007792, Apr. 2020, doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007792.
- [218] H. Bharadhwaj and S. Joshi, 'Explanations for Temporal Recommendations', *KI Künstl. Intell.*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 267–272, Nov. 2018, doi: 10.1007/s13218-018-0560-x.
- [219] X. He, T. Chen, M.-Y. Kan, and X. Chen, 'TriRank: Review-aware Explainable Recommendation by Modeling Aspects', in Proceedings of the 24th ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management - CIKM '15, New York, New York, USA, 2015, pp. 1661–1670. doi: 10.1145/2806416.2806504.
- [220] C. Hurter, V. Kapp, and S. Conversy, 'An Infovis approach to compare ATC comets: A theoretical basis for comparing visual entities', 2008.
- [221] S. Lanini-Maggi, 'Depicting movement data with animations for embodied and real-time decision-making: a user study with air traffic control displays and real-time movement data', University of Zurich, 2017.
- [222] S. Maggi, S. I. Fabrikant, J.-P. Imbert, and C. Hurter, 'How Do Display Design and User Characteristics Matter in Animations? An Empirical Study with Air Traffic Control Displays', *Cartogr. Int. J. Geogr. Inf. Geovisualization*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 25–37, Jan. 2016, doi: 10.3138/cart.51.1.3176.
- [223] J.-P. Imbert, H. M. Hodgetts, R. Parise, F. Vachon, F. Dehais, and S. Tremblay, 'Attentional costs and failures in air traffic control notifications', *Ergonomics*, vol. 57, no. 12, pp. 1817–1832, Dec. 2014, doi: 10.1080/00140139.2014.952680.
- [224] C. Schlienger, S. Conversy, S. Chatty, M. Anquetil, and C. Mertz, 'Improving users' comprehension of changes with animation and sound: An empirical assessment', in *IFIP Conference on Human-Computer Interaction*, 2007, pp. 207–220.
- [225] S. B. Van Dam, M. Mulder, and M. M. Van Paassen, 'Ecological interface design of a tactical airborne separation assistance tool', IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern.-Part Syst. Hum., vol. 38, no. 6, pp. 1221–1233, 2008.
- [226] E. Zohrevandi, C. A. Westin, J. Lundberg, and A. Ynnerman, 'Design of a Real Time Visual Analytics Support Tool for Conflict Detection and Resolution in Air Traffic Control', 2020.
- [227] B. Beernink, C. Borst, J. Ellerbroek, R. van Paassen, and M. Mulder, 'Toward an integrated ecological plan view display for air traffic controllers', in *18th International Symposium on Aviation Psychology*, 2015, p. 55.
- [228] J. Lodder, J. Comans, M. M. Van Paassen, and M. Mulder, 'Altitude-extended solution space diagram for air traffic controllers', in 16th International Symposium on Aviation Psychology, 2011, p. 345.
- [229] S. Bagassi, F. De Crescenzio, and F. Persiani, 'Design and evaluation of a four-dimensional interface for air traffic control', *Proc.* Inst. Mech. Eng. Part G J. Aerosp. Eng., vol. 224, no. 8, pp. 937–947, 2010.
- [230] C. Hurter, R. Lesbordes, C. Letondal, J.-L. Vinot, and S. Conversy, 'Strip'TIC: exploring augmented paper strips for air traffic controllers', in *Proceedings of the International Working Conference on Advanced Visual Interfaces*, 2012, pp. 225–232.
- [231] Y. Lee, S. Marks, and A. M. Connor, 'An Evaluation of the Effectiveness of Virtual Reality in Air Traffic Control', in *Proceedings of the 2020 4th International Conference on Virtual and Augmented Reality Simulations*, New York, NY, USA, Feb. 2020, pp. 7–17. doi: 10.1145/3385378.3385380.
- [232] M. Cordeil, T. Dwyer, and C. Hurter, 'Immersive solutions for future Air Traffic Control and Management', in *Proceedings of the 2016 ACM Companion on Interactive Surfaces and Spaces*, New York, NY, USA, Nov. 2016, pp. 25–31. doi: 10.1145/3009939.3009944.
- [233] R. De Piano, P. Marti, L. Save, and L. Chisci, 'Concepts of multimodal interactions to support Human Performance in Remote Tower Operations'.
- [234] K. Han, S. H. H. Shah, and J. W. Lee, 'Holographic Mixed Reality System for Air Traffic Control and Management', *Appl. Sci.*, vol. 9, no. 16, Art. no. 16, Jan. 2019, doi: 10.3390/app9163370.
- [235] J. J. Thomas and K. A. Cook, 'A visual analytics agenda', IEEE Comput. Graph. Appl., vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 10–13, 2006.
- [236] C. Hurter, R. Alligier, D. Gianazza, S. Puechmorel, G. Andrienko, and N. Andrienko, 'Wind parameters extraction from aircraft
- trajectories', Comput. Environ. Urban Syst., vol. 47, pp. 28–43, Sep. 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.compenvurbsys.2014.01.005.
- [237] S. Buschmann, 'A software framework for GPU-based geo-temporal visualization techniques', 2018.
 [238] G. Andrienko, N. Andrienko, G. Fuchs, and J. M. C. Garcia, 'Clustering Trajectories by Relevant Parts for Air Traffic Analysis', *IEEE*
- Trans. Vis. Comput. Graph., vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 34–44, Jan. 2018, doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2017.2744322.
 [239] S. Buschmann, M. Trapp, and J. Döllner, 'Animated visualization of spatial–temporal trajectory data for air-traffic analysis', Vis. Comput., vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 371–381, Mar. 2016, doi: 10.1007/s00371-015-1185-9.
- [240] W. J. Eerland, S. Box, H. Fangohr, and A. Sobester, 'A Gaussian process based decision support tool for air traffic management', in 17th AIAA Aviation Technology, Integration, and Operations Conference, American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics. doi: 10.2514/6.2017-4264.
- [241] J. Buchmüller, H. Janetzko, G. Andrienko, N. Andrienko, G. Fuchs, and D. A. Keim, 'Visual Analytics for Exploring Local Impact of Air Traffic', *Comput. Graph. Forum*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 181–190, 2015, doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/cgf.12630.





- [242] N. Andrienko, G. Andrienko, J. M. C. Garcia, and D. Scarlatti, 'Analysis of Flight Variability: a Systematic Approach', *IEEE Trans. Vis. Comput. Graph.*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 54–64, Jan. 2019, doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2018.2864811.
- [243] S. Buschmann, M. Trapp, and J. Döllner, 'Real-Time Animated Visualization of Massive Air-Traffic Trajectories', in 2014 International Conference on Cyberworlds, Oct. 2014, pp. 174–181. doi: 10.1109/CW.2014.32.
- [244] G. Albrecht, H.-T. Lee, and A. Pang, 'Visual analysis of air traffic data using aircraft density and conflict probability', in *Infotech@* Aerospace 2012, 2012, p. 2540.
- [245] C. Hurter, O. Ersoy, and A. Telea, 'Smooth bundling of large streaming and sequence graphs', in 2013 IEEE Pacific Visualization Symposium (PacificVis), Feb. 2013, pp. 41–48. doi: 10.1109/PacificVis.2013.6596126.
- [246] T. Klein, M. van der Zwan, and A. Telea, 'Dynamic multiscale visualization of flight data', in 2014 International Conference on Computer Vision Theory and Applications (VISAPP), Jan. 2014, vol. 1, pp. 104–114.
- [247] M. van der Zwan, V. Codreanu, and A. Telea, 'CUBu: Universal Real-Time Bundling for Large Graphs', *IEEE Trans. Vis. Comput. Graph.*, vol. 22, no. 12, pp. 2550–2563, Dec. 2016, doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2016.2515611.
- [248] V. Peysakhovich, C. Hurter, and A. Telea, 'Attribute-driven edge bundling for general graphs with applications in trail analysis', in 2015 IEEE Pacific Visualization Symposium (PacificVis), Apr. 2015, pp. 39–46. doi: 10.1109/PACIFICVIS.2015.7156354.
- [249] C. Hurter, S. Puechmorel, F. Nicol, and A. Telea, 'Functional Decomposition for Bundled Simplification of Trail Sets', *IEEE Trans. Vis. Comput. Graph.*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 500–510, Jan. 2018, doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2017.2744338.
- [250] R. Scheepens, C. Hurter, H. V. D. Wetering, and J. J. V. Wijk, 'Visualization, Selection, and Analysis of Traffic Flows', *IEEE Trans. Vis. Comput. Graph.*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 379–388, Jan. 2016, doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2015.2467112.
- [251] C. Hurter, B. Tissoires, and S. Conversy, 'FromDaDy: Spreading Aircraft Trajectories Across Views to Support Iterative Queries', IEEE Trans. Vis. Comput. Graph., vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 1017–1024, Nov. 2009, doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2009.145.
- [252] C. Hurter, S. Conversy, D. Gianazza, and A. C. Telea, 'Interactive image-based information visualization for aircraft trajectory analysis', *Transp. Res. Part C Emerg. Technol.*, vol. 47, pp. 207–227, Oct. 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.trc.2014.03.005.
- [253] P. Meschenmoser, J. Buchmüller, and D. A. Keim, 'Regulation-Oriented Filtering in Web-Based Air Traffic Exploration', 2019, pp. 144–155. doi: 10.29007/17kc.
- [254] H. Wu, S. Jia, J. Wang, and J. Zhang, 'M3: visual exploration of spatial relationships between flight trajectories', *J. Vis.*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 457–470, Jun. 2018, doi: 10.1007/s12650-017-0471-1.
- [255] M. Bourgois, M. Cooper, V. Duong, J. Hjalmarsson, M. Lange, and A. Ynnerman, 'Interactive and Immersive 3D Visualization for ATC', p. 303, 2005.
- [256] C. Hurter, N. H. Riche, S. M. Drucker, M. Cordeil, R. Alligier, and R. Vuillemot, 'FiberClay: Sculpting Three Dimensional Trajectories to Reveal Structural Insights', *IEEE Trans. Vis. Comput. Graph.*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 704–714, Jan. 2019, doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2018.2865191.
- [257] F. Homps, Y. Beugin, and R. Vuillemot, 'ReViVD: Exploration and Filtering of Trajectories in an Immersive Environment using 3D Shapes', in 2020 IEEE Conference on Virtual Reality and 3D User Interfaces (VR), Mar. 2020, pp. 729–737. doi: 10.1109/VR46266.2020.00096.
- [258] A. Prouzeau, A. Lhuillier, B. Ens, D. Weiskopf, and T. Dwyer, 'Visual Link Routing in Immersive Visualisations', in *Proceedings of the 2019 ACM International Conference on Interactive Surfaces and Spaces*, New York, NY, USA, Nov. 2019, pp. 241–253. doi: 10.1145/3343055.3359709.
- [259] X. Wang, L. Besançon, D. Rousseau, M. Sereno, M. Ammi, and T. Isenberg, 'Towards an Understanding of Augmented Reality Extensions for Existing 3D Data Analysis Tools', in *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, New York, NY, USA, Apr. 2020, pp. 1–13. doi: 10.1145/3313831.3376657.
- [260] S. Hubenschmid, J. Zagermann, S. Butscher, and H. Reiterer, 'STREAM : Exploring the Combination of Spatially-Aware Tablets with Augmented Reality Head-Mounted Displays for Immersive Analytics', presented at the CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '21), 2021. doi: 10.1145/3411764.3445298.
- [261] Z. Chen and B. Liu, *Lifelong Machine Learning*, Second Edition. 2018.
- [262] J. Kirkpatrick *et al.*, 'Overcoming catastrophic forgetting in neural networks', *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, vol. 114, no. 13, p. 3521, Mar. 2017, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1611835114.
- [263] S. Rebuffi, A. Kolesnikov, G. Sperl, and C. H. Lampert, 'iCaRL: Incremental Classifier and Representation Learning', in 2017 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), Jul. 2017, pp. 5533–5542. doi: 10.1109/CVPR.2017.587.
- [264] Z. Li and D. Hoiem, 'Learning without Forgetting', *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, vol. 40, no. 12, pp. 2935–2947, Dec. 2018, doi: 10.1109/TPAMI.2017.2773081.
- [265] A. A. Rusu, N. C. Rabinowitz, and G. Desjardins, 'Progressive neural networks', Apr. 2020, [Online]. Available: http://arxiv. org/abs/1606.04671
- [266] G. Parisi, X. Ji, and S. Wermter, On the role of neurogenesis in overcoming catastrophic forgetting. 2018.
- [267] B. Liu, 'Learning on the Job: Online Lifelong and Continual Learning', *Proc. AAAI Conf. Artif. Intell.*, vol. 34, pp. 13544–13549, Apr. 2020, doi: 10.1609/aaai.v34i09.7079.
- [268] G. C. Parameswaran, M. A. S., and S. S., 'Online Lifelong Generalized Zero-Shot Learning', ArXiv Prepr., 2021.
- [269] U. Ehsan and M. O. Riedl, 'Human-Centered Explainable AI: Towards a Reflective Sociotechnical Approach', in *HCI International* 2020 - Late Breaking Papers: Multimodality and Intelligence, Cham, 2020, pp. 449–466. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-60117-1_33.
- [270] Y. Koren, R. Bell, and C. Volinsky, 'Matrix Factorization Techniques for Recommender Systems', *Computer*, vol. 42, no. 8, pp. 30– 37, Aug. 2009, doi: 10.1109/MC.2009.263.
- [271] B. Y. Lim and A. K. Dey, 'Investigating intelligibility for uncertain context-aware applications', in *Proceedings of the 13th international conference on Ubiquitous computing*, New York, NY, USA, Sep. 2011, pp. 415–424. doi: 10.1145/2030112.2030168.
- [272] S. Coppers *et al.*, 'Intellingo: An Intelligible Translation Environment', in *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, New York, NY, USA, Apr. 2018, pp. 1–13. doi: 10.1145/3173574.3174098.
- B. Y. Lim and A. K. Dey, 'Toolkit to support intelligibility in context-aware applications', in *Proceedings of the 12th ACM international conference on Ubiquitous computing*, New York, NY, USA, Sep. 2010, pp. 13–22. doi: 10.1145/1864349.1864353.





- [274] K. M. Ford, A. J. Cañas, and J. W. Coffey, 'Participatory explanation', in *Proceedings of 6th Annual Florida AI Research Symposium*, 1993, pp. 85–90.
- [275] P. Brézillon, 'Context needs in cooperative building of explanations', in *First European Conference on Cognitive Science in Industry*, 1994, pp. 443–450.
- [276] S. Amershi, M. Chickering, S. M. Drucker, B. Lee, P. Simard, and J. Suh, 'Modeltracker: Redesigning performance analysis tools for machine learning', in *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 2015, pp. 337– 346.
- [277] S. Rosenthal, S. P. Selvaraj, and M. M. Veloso, 'Verbalization: Narration of Autonomous Robot Experience.', in *IJCAI*, 2016, vol. 16, pp. 862–868.
- [278] D. A. Wilkenfeld, D. Plunkett, and T. Lombrozo, 'Depth and deference: When and why we attribute understanding', *Philos. Stud.*, vol. 173, no. 2, pp. 373–393, 2016.
- [279] R. Hoffman, T. Miller, S. T. Mueller, G. Klein, and W. J. Clancey, 'Explaining Explanation, Part 4: A Deep Dive on Deep Nets', *IEEE Intell. Syst.*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 87–95, May 2018, doi: 10.1109/MIS.2018.033001421.
- [280] R. R. Hoffman, S. T. Mueller, and G. Klein, 'Explaining Explanation, Part 2: Empirical Foundations', *IEEE Intell. Syst.*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 78–86, 2017, doi: 10.1109/MIS.2017.3121544.
- [281] R. R. Hoffman and G. Klein, 'Explaining Explanation, Part 1: Theoretical Foundations', *IEEE Intell. Syst.*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 68–73, May 2017, doi: 10.1109/MIS.2017.54.
- [282] G. Klein, 'Explaining Explanation, Part 3: The Causal Landscape', *IEEE Intell. Syst.*, vol. 33, pp. 83–88, Mar. 2018, doi: 10.1109/MIS.2018.022441353.
- [283] D. Wang, Q. Yang, A. Abdul, and B. Y. Lim, 'Designing Theory-Driven User-Centric Explainable Al', in *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI* Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, New York, NY, USA, May 2019, pp. 1–15. doi: 10.1145/3290605.3300831.
- [284] H. Zhu, B. Yu, A. Halfaker, and L. Terveen, 'Value-sensitive algorithm design: Method, case study, and lessons', *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.*, vol. 2, no. CSCW, pp. 1–23, 2018.
- [285] T. Miller, 'Explanation in artificial intelligence: Insights from the social sciences', Artif. Intell., vol. 267, pp. 1–38, 2019.
- [286] S. R. Hong, J. Hullman, and E. Bertini, 'Human factors in model interpretability: Industry practices, challenges, and needs', *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.*, vol. 4, no. CSCW1, pp. 1–26, 2020.
- [287] T. Spinner, U. Schlegel, H. Schäfer, and M. El-Assady, 'explAIner: A Visual Analytics Framework for Interactive and Explainable Machine Learning', *IEEE Trans. Vis. Comput. Graph.*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 1064–1074, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2019.2934629.
- [288] P. Aricò et al., 'Adaptive Automation Triggered by EEG-Based Mental Workload Index: A Passive Brain-Computer Interface Application in Realistic Air Traffic Control Environment', Front. Hum. Neurosci., vol. 10, Oct. 2016, doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2016.00539.
- [289] T. Kistan, A. Gardi, and R. Sabatini, 'Machine Learning and Cognitive Ergonomics in Air Traffic Management: Recent Developments and Considerations for Certification', *Aerospace*, vol. 5, no. 4, 2018, doi: 10.3390/aerospace5040103.
- [290] E. de Visser and R. Parasuraman, 'Adaptive Aiding of Human-Robot Teaming: Effects of Imperfect Automation on Performance, Trust, and Workload', *J. Cogn. Eng. Decis. Mak.*, vol. 5, pp. 209–231, Jun. 2011, doi: 10.1177/1555343411410160.
- [291] B. Kirwan and M. Flynn, 'Investigating Air Traffic Controller Conflict Resolution Strategies', EUROCONTROL, Brussels, Belgium, 1, 2002.
- [292] C. Kelly, M. Boardman, P. Goillau, and E. Jeannot, Guidelines for Trust in Future ATM Systems: A Literature Review. 2003.
- [293] N. Sarter, D. Woods, and C. Billings, 'Automation surprises', in *Handbook of Human Factors and Ergonomics*, vol. 2, 1997, pp. 1926–1943.
- [294] E. A. Byrne and R. Parasuraman, 'Psychophysiology and adaptive automation', *Psychophysiol. Workload*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 249–268, Feb. 1996, doi: 10.1016/0301-0511(95)05161-9.
- [295] J. Wolpaw and E. W. Wolpaw, Eds., Brain–Computer Interfaces: Principles and Practice. Oxford University Press, 2012. doi: 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195388855.001.0001.
- [296] P. Aricò, G. Borghini, G. Di Flumeri, S. Pozzi, and F. Babiloni, 'A passive brain–computer interface application for the mental workload assessment on professional air traffic controllers during realistic air traffic control tasks', in *Progress in Brain Research*, vol. 228, 2016. doi: 10.1016/bs.pbr.2016.04.021.
- [297] R. Parasuraman, T. Bahri, J. Deaton, J. Morrison, and M. Barnes, 'Theory and Design of Adaptive Automation in Aviation Systems', p. 48, Jul. 1992.
- [298] 'WPE-SESAR research project "NINA"'. [Online]. Available: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5080530/
- [299] G. Di Flumeri *et al.*, 'Brain–Computer Interface-Based Adaptive Automation to Prevent Out-Of-The-Loop Phenomenon in Air Traffic Controllers Dealing With Highly Automated Systems', *Front. Hum. Neurosci.*, vol. 13, p. 296, 2019, doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2019.00296.
- [300] 'MINIMA'. [Online]. Available: https://www.minima-project.eu/wp/
- [301] G. Borghini *et al.*, 'A multimodal and signals fusion approach for assessing the impact of stressful events on Air Traffic Controllers', *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 8600, May 2020, doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-65610-z.
- [302] 'EU Stress Project'. [Online]. Available: http://www.stressproject.eu/

